Integrated Effect of Compost and Cr$^{6+}$ Reducing Bacteria on Antioxidant System and Plant Physiology of Alfalfa
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Abstract

Toxicity of chromium is highly dependent on its oxidation state. Mainly in natural ecosystem, it persists in two stable states Cr$^{3+}$ and Cr$^{6+}$. Among these states, Cr$^{6+}$ is 100-1000 times more toxic as compared to the reduced form Cr$^{3+}$. Microbes (bacteria) have the ability to reduce Cr$^{6+}$ to Cr$^{3+}$ and this process can be further boosted with organic matter application. Present study was designated in 2016-2017 at the Wire house of Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad to investigate the reduction potential of bacteria and their role in strengthening of plant anti-oxidant system and chlorophyll contents. Three pre-isolated and well characterized microbial strains Q5, U32 and U37 alone as well as in the presence of compost were used. Strain Q5 belongs to specie Alcaligenes, while U32 and U37 were Pseudomonas specie. It was a pot experiment and tester crop was alfalfa. Soil was Spiked with potassium dichromate salt and 24 mg kg$^{-1}$ level was maintained (This was the average concentration at the fields irrigated with tannery effluent from previous study). Chlorophyll contents (a and b), antioxidant system (ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase, superoxide dismutase and catalase) and physiological attributes (photosynthetic and transpiration rate, stomatal conductance and water use efficiency) were studied by following standard analytical methods and statistical procedure. Results of the study indicated that inoculation with microbial strain U32 in the presence of compost enhanced Cr$^{6+}$ reduction upto 53% and grain yield 44% more over the control. Overall inoculation with U32 had improved the plant growth, physiology, anti-oxidant production, alfalfa grain yield and Cr$^{6+}$ reduction was 53% more over the control. © 2018 Friends Science Publishers
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Introduction

Chromium is the 2nd most important pollutant in soil and water. It’s principal source of contamination are municipal and industrial waste water application to the agricultural lands (Barrera-Diaz et al., 2012). Among industrial source, tanneries are contributing round about 70% in contamination of chromium. In Pakistan, Karachi, Kasur, Peshawar, Muzaffargarh and Sialkot are major cities where tanneries are installed. In Punjab Sialkot and Kasur are mainly affected. Round about 650 tanning units are working in Kasur as a result of that chromium concentration has been mounted upto 2.30 mg/L in water and 2990 mg/kg in soil. Tannery effluent also contain toxic heavy metals like Pb, Ni and As (Rashid et al., 2012). Ultimately from where these toxic metals enter into the food chain. Among the oxidation states, Cr$^{3+}$ is required in low quantity 50-200 µg g$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ for the human beings. It plays role in sugar and lipid metabolism. It acts as insulin co-factor as well as lowers the level of glucose in diabetes patient and is also the part of substances that bind the excessive chromium in the body and retards the functioning of phosphotirosine phosphatase enzyme that reduce the sensitivity of insulin in body (Anonymous, 2006).

Hexavalent chromium (Cr$^{6+}$) is toxic at all levels of concentration, that’s why it is among the 17 chemicals that are severe threat to life. It is included in Group-1 human cancer causing substances by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (Iyer and Mastorakis, 2010; Oliveira, 2012). It mainly attacks respiratory track and causes disorders like chronic rhinitis, asthma, eye and skin irritation and chronic bronchitis. It also damages the structure of DNA, protein and lipids, causes birth defects and impairment of reproductive capacity. Cr$^{3+}$ as well as Cr$^{6+}$ can be taken up by the plants and this uptake is heavily dependent on oxidation state, mobility, redox potential, pH and temperature (Sharma and Adholeya, 2011).

Presence of Cr$^{6+}$ in soil also affects the normal plant growth by interfering with number of physiological and chemical processes within the plant. It inhibits the seed germination because it interrupts the activity of amylase enzyme that inhibits the starch break-down (Shanker et al., 2005). Once Cr$^{6+}$ entered into the plant, it effects below (root length, biomass and diameter) and above ground parts
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Remediation of chromium contaminated soils can be done by many conventional methods including physical, chemical and biological (Pugazhenthi et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2017). Among the biological approaches, bioremediation is the most effective, easy and environment friendly approach than other techniques. It uses living organisms to eradicate contaminants from the system. Phytoremediation and microbial bioremediation are two broad types of the bio-remediation (Wang et al., 2016). Further microbial remediation is divided into number of types, reduction is the most significant type from Cr$^{6+}$ point of view (Basha and Murthy, 2007).

During the process of reduction, chromate reducing bacteria take electrons from either electron transport chain, organic matter or any other source and transform Cr$^{6+}$ to Cr$^{3+}$. Chromate reduction is further divided into aerobic and anaerobic (Debadatta and Susmita, 2012; Liu et al., 2017). In aerobic reduction, bacteria need oxygen to reduce Cr$^{6+}$ to Cr$^{3+}$ and enzyme Chromate reductase ChrR to carry out this process. During this process, Cr$^{6+}$ is converted into Cr$^{3+}$ with 3 electron shuttle. Few reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced that cause oxidative stress to plant resulting in lipid peroxidation (Brose and James, 2010). Another enzyme “Chromate reductase YieF” is also used for this process and is more efficient than ChrR by producing 20-22% less ROS. Some of the known bacteria with aerobic reduction are Pseudomonas maltophilia, Ps. Putida NK1, Bacillus megaterium and Alcaligenes eutrophus. In anaerobic reduction, soluble and membrane associated enzymes are involved, that are linked with electron transport for electron shuttle. Cr$^{6+}$ receives electron from organic matter, fat and proteins as well. Enzymatic reduction of chromate is mainly carried out by cytochrome (b and c) family. Earlier scientist were unable to find that why bacteria carried out the reduction? But now few strains have been identified that got energy from reduction process. Bacteria with anaerobic reduction are Agrobacterium radiobactor, Pantoea agglomerans and Desulfovibrio desulfuricans (Islam, 2016; Shahid et al., 2017). In phytoremediation plants are used either to transform or detoxify or to accumulate the pollutants. Sunflower, Indian mustard, alfalfa, spinach and some grasses (Poa pratensis, Lolium perenne and Festuca rubra) are commonly used for this purpose (Tangahu et al., 2011; Sathish et al., 2015).

The process of bioremediation can be further enhanced through exogenous application of organic matter/compost in polluted soil (Yadav, 2010). Organic matter helps in burgeoning population of microbes, provide carbon for assimilation, electrons for reduction and sites for immobilization of metals as well as produces substances that modifies the rhizosphere for remediation of contaminated sites (Brown and Chaney, 2000). It contains hydroquinone (group of dissolved organic carbon) that is topmost source of electron required for Cr$^{6+}$ reduction (Gu and Chen, 2003; Saranraj and Sujitha, 2013). These amendments also chelate heavy metals and reduce their availability resulting in better plant growth (Monte et al., 2009; Malaviya and Singh, 2016).

As mentioned earlier, microbial remediation, phytoremediation and organic amendments have their own individual advantages and if all these techniques are combined, it would be of massive use. In previous literature available, few studies with combined use of phytoremediation and microbial remediation are reported but data regarding these two combined with organic amendments is scares. Organic matter provides nutrients as well as improve the overall soil health that ultimately result in better plant growth (Chiu et al., 2009; Trebien et al., 2011). It also provides carbon, nutrients, energy and electrons to bacteria for better functioning. On the other hand, bacteria reduce the Cr$^{6+}$ (Bolan et al., 2003) and play important role in strengthening the plant defence mechanism (Hao et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2014).

The purpose of present study was to evaluate the Cr$^{6+}$ reduction potential of bacteria under pot conditions and to estimate the integrated effect of compost and Cr$^{6+}$ reducing bacteria on alfalfa grain yield, chlorophyll contents, antioxidant system and its physiology under the Cr$^{6+}$ contamination.

**Material and Methods**

**Experiment on Chromium Contaminated Soil**

Pre-isolated and pre-characterized bacterial strains Q5, U32 and U37 (isolated from three main industrial cities Sialkot, Lahore and Kasur) were used for the pot trial. Inoculum was prepared using Loria Britni media broth and standard condition for bacterial growth in shaking incubator. Required bacterial population was attained by monitoring the optical density. Trial was conducted in the wire house of “The Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad”. Properly dried and grounded soil was used for trial. Cr$^{6+}$ contents were also determined along with other physico-chemical parameters of soil (Table 1). K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$ salt was used to contaminate the soil and 24 mg kg$^{-1}$ of Cr$^{6+}$ was upheld according to the Cr$^{6+}$ content in the soil samples from which bacteria were isolated (from previous study). Pots were filled with 10 Kg soil and compost was added in each pot @ the rate of 1.5%.
The characteristics of compost used for trial are illustrated in (Table 2). Medicago sativa (alfalfa) seeds were inoculated with broth and three seeds per pot were sown. Fertilizer was applied according to the recommendation. Completely randomized design with three replications was used and data was collected according to the standard methods.

**Estimation of Physio-Chemical Properties of Soil Used for Pot Trial**

Soil organic matter was measured by method used by Moodie et al. (1959) and available phosphorus through spectrophotometric method (Watanabe and Olsen, 1965). 1 N ammonium acetate was used for soil extraction for potassium determination by Flame photometer (Jenway PFP-7) and potassium concentration was calculated by using standard curve (U.S. Salinity Lab. staff, 1954).

**Determination of Cr⁶⁺ in Soil Samples**

Cr⁶⁺ was measured by the method used by Gheju and his co-workers, 2009. 40 mL aqua regia (HCl:HNO₃ = 3:1) was added in 50 mL flask having 2 g air dried soil in it. This mixture was kept for 16 h and then digested at 85°C for two hours and was allowed to cool. Then filtered and 50 mL volume was made with HNO₃. Cr⁶⁺ concentration was measured on spectrophotometer by using 1,5-diphenylcarbazide method. Determination was based on purple complex formation by Cr⁶⁺ in presence of 1,5-diphenylcarbazide. After 15 min of adding colour developing reagent, the absorbance was measured at 540 nm wavelength on Evolution 300 LC spectrophotometer.

**Estimation of Plant Physiological Attributes**

Physiological parameters like stomatal conductance, water use efficiency, transpiration rate and photosynthetic rate were determined by using CIRUS-3 and chlorophyll contents were measured according to the method used by Arnon (1949) and SPAD value was measured through chlorophyll meter.

**Estimation of Antioxidants**

Ascorbate peroxidase activity was measured by method used by Nakano and Asada (1981). Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was assayed using a modified NBT method, catalase activity through method described by Aebi (1974), proline contents were determined according to the method described by Bates et al. (1973) and malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration was calculated from the difference (A532–A600) in absorbance using Beer and Lambert’s equation and expressed in terms of µM MDA mg⁻¹ protein (Jambunathan, 2010).

**Cr⁶⁺ Determination in Plants**

Vegetative as well as reproductive parts of the plants were digested by the method used by Humphries (1956) followed by the Cr⁶⁺ determination by the method used by Gheju and his co-workers, 2009.

**Bacterial Identification**

For proper identification at strain level, the intergenic region between 16S and 32S rRNA gene was identified through 16S rRNA technique. In this technique, the extracted region of the gene from DNA is amplified and compared with the ladder from the Gene Bank to obtain match.

**Results**

Results of the study revealed that inoculation in the presence of compost enhanced the Cr⁶⁺ reduction in soil, production of anti-oxidants, physiological attributes and grain yield of alfalfa. Chlorophyll ‘a’ and ‘b’ contents of plants inoculated with U32 in the presence of compost were 56% and 75% more over the contamination control under Cr⁶⁺ stress conditions. Alfalfa plants grown without inoculation had 55% less carotenoids. While inoculation with U32 increased 42% carotenoids and 12% overall chlorophyll contents as compared to the contamination control. Maximum recorded SPAD value was by the plants inoculated with strain U37 in the presence of compost (Table 4).

Chromate stress also affected negatively the physiological processes. However, inoculation with selected
isolates had given some relief to the plants by reducing the chromate uptake by the plants. The process of photosynthesis ($\mu$mol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) was enhanced up to 58% and transpiration up to 1.1 folds by inoculating with U32 in combination with compost application as compared to the stress control plants (Table 5). Water use efficiency (mmol CO$_2$ mol$^{-1}$ H$_2$O) was enhanced by 1.2 folds by inoculating plants with bacterial isolate Q5 in the presence of compost. Under stressed conditions, U37 performed better and improved the stomatal conductance by 2.7 folds as compared to respective stress control plants and Q5 performed better under non-stressed conditions and enhanced the process up to 53% compared to the control (Table 5).

Performance of plant defence system (antioxidants) is of much more importance because their enhanced concentration alleviates the stress from plant and provide normal conditions for plant growth and development. Under normal conditions, the production of antioxidants was non-significant with control plants, while under contamination was significant. The ascorbate peroxidase production was 1.42 folds higher than the stress control plants when inoculated with strain U32 along-with compost application (Fig. 1). Bacterial strain Q5 enhanced the superoxide dismutase ($\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ fw) and catalase production up to 1.76 (Fig. 2) and 2.02 folds (Fig. 5) as compared to the contamination control. Similar strain had enhanced the concentration of stress indicator (proline) up to 1.17 (Fig. 3) folds over the contamination control plants. The production of MDA increases with increasing concentration of Cr$^{6+}$ and contrary to this, inoculation had neutralized the metal effect by reducing Cr$^{6+}$ into Cr$^{3+}$. Maximum MDA was produced in plants of control under stress (78%) and minimum in plants inoculated with U32 followed by U37 (Fig. 4).

One of the prime objective of using Cr$^{6+}$ reducing bacteria was chromate reduction. Maximum Cr$^{6+}$ reduction (56%) was carried out in soil where compost was applied along with Q5 inoculation. While U32 has reduced the Cr$^{6+}$ up to 53% as compared to the contamination control and was at par with the isolate U37 (Fig. 6). When we talk about chromate uptake, maximum Cr$^{6+}$ was found in plants of contamination control and minimum in plants inoculated with U32 (68%). In aerial parts of the contamination control, maximum Cr$^{6+}$ was translocated and minimum in U32 inoculated plants (4.4 folds) less compared to control in shoots and no Cr$^{6+}$ was detected in grain. Farmer required ‘grain yield’ was reduced up to 28% from the plants of contamination control. Maximum grain yield (44% more over the stress control plants) was obtained when inoculated with U32 in the presence of compost compared to contamination control (Table 6). Pre-isolated and pre-characterized bacterial strains were further re-verified for the particular bacteria through 16S rRNA technique. Isolate U32 and U37 were from Pseudomonas specie and Q5 belongs to specie Alcaligenes (Table 5).

### Table 3: Bacterial identification through 16S rRNA technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Similarity index (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>Alcaligenes faecalis</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>U32</td>
<td>Pseudomonas gessardii</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>U37</td>
<td>Pseudomonos fluoroscente</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Effect of inoculation and compost application on chlorophyll contents of alfalfa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Chlorophyll a (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll b (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Carotenoids (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>SPAD Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.23e-h</td>
<td>0.51e-f</td>
<td>0.51e-f</td>
<td>17.72fg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.84k</td>
<td>0.33h</td>
<td>0.23h</td>
<td>12.40j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>1.33de</td>
<td>0.60bc</td>
<td>0.61a-d</td>
<td>24.11bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U32</td>
<td>1.28ef</td>
<td>0.58b-d</td>
<td>0.67ab</td>
<td>21.50bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U37</td>
<td>1.45cd</td>
<td>0.54cd</td>
<td>0.62a-c</td>
<td>24.87c-e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+Cr</td>
<td>0.95jk</td>
<td>0.38g-h</td>
<td>0.54fg</td>
<td>15.75g-j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U32Cr</td>
<td>1.01ij</td>
<td>0.41f-h</td>
<td>0.47fg</td>
<td>13.40f-h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U37Cr</td>
<td>1.1g-ij</td>
<td>0.43e-h</td>
<td>0.39gh</td>
<td>17.21l-j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp</td>
<td>1.32de</td>
<td>0.53c-e</td>
<td>0.58a-e</td>
<td>22.08cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+Cr+Q5</td>
<td>1.07b-h</td>
<td>0.39g-h</td>
<td>0.32h</td>
<td>13.57h-j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+Q5</td>
<td>1.56bc</td>
<td>0.72a</td>
<td>0.66b</td>
<td>29.70a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+U32</td>
<td>1.63ab</td>
<td>0.75a</td>
<td>0.72a</td>
<td>26.61a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+U37</td>
<td>1.75b</td>
<td>0.66ab</td>
<td>0.67ab</td>
<td>28.82ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+Cr+Q5+U32</td>
<td>1.13f-j</td>
<td>0.48d-g</td>
<td>0.45c-f</td>
<td>18.16e-g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+Cr+U37</td>
<td>1.25e-g</td>
<td>0.58b-d</td>
<td>0.48b-f</td>
<td>16.46d-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp+Cr+U37</td>
<td>1.19e-h</td>
<td>0.55c-f</td>
<td>0.41e-g</td>
<td>19.86f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values sharing the same letters are statistically non-significant (Tukey’s test, p < 0.05)

### Discussion

Ferocious effects of heavy metals are the consequence of burgeoning population of the world and industrialization. Heavy metals have affected the human, plant and animal health equally. Broadly heavy metals are divided into two categories beneficial (Zn, Fe and Cu) and toxic (Cr, Pb and As). Heavy metals are under the category of inorganic pollutants that can only be transformed from one form to another (Mulligan et al., 2001; Merdy et al., 2009).
Certain microbes have the ability to transform these metals through processes like oxidation reduction, methylation/demethylation and bioaccumulation. In the present study, focus was on the reduction of Cr$^{6+}$ to Cr$^{3+}$, plant physiology and anti-oxidant system. Bacterial reduction process requires an electron source, organic carbon and nutrients. For this purpose organic matter in the form of compost played significant role in providing all these things together.

Results of the study showed that the presence of Cr$^{6+}$ has reduced the efficiency of the plant physiological processes but bacterial inoculation alone as well as along-with compost application provided relief significantly. Cr$^{6+}$ had reduced the photosynthesis process by disturbing the ultra-structure of chloroplast (one of the primary target of the Cr$^{6+}$ in plants) by changing the arrangement of thylakoids (site of photosynthetic reaction). The transpiration process and stomatal conductance were also reduced due to the interference of chromate with the opening and closing of stomata. This all might be due to the ability of the bacteria to alleviate the chromate stress by reducing it into the chromite (Cr$^{3+}$). As Cr$^{3+}$ is less mobile so its uptake was reduced 10-100 times compared to the Cr$^{6+}$ (Parameswari et al., 2009). On contaminated sites, Cr$^{6+}$ reducing bacteria are under the dire need of electron donors that were provided by the exogenous application of compost (organic amendment) (Ahmed et al., 2016).
Organic amendments along with electron provision also enhanced the bacteria population by providing the energy and carbon, immobilizes metals, and rhizospheric modifications resulted in enhanced remediation process (Brown and Chaney, 2000). Root, shoot and reproductive parts Cr(VI) analysis showed that roots contain higher concentration as compared to the arial parts. It might be due to decreased translocation of Cr(VI) to the plant arial parts due to less accumulation (Ali et al., 2013; Gutierrez-Corona et al., 2016). This type of metal apportioning within the various plant was reported by Licina et al., 2007; Yen et al., 2017.

As a result of Cr(VI) uptake, excessive reactive oxygen species (free radicals including OH, H2O2, O2•−, O2 and peroxides) were produced (Sharma et al., 2012) that disturbed the balance between their production and detoxification and caused oxidative damage to the plants. It resulted in scavenging of biomolecules (nucleic acids, lipids amino acids and proteins), irreparable metabolic changes that leads to the cell death (Dhir et al., 2009). Presence of ROS severely impaired the selectivity of permeable membrane by interfering with structure of lipids in it. To overcome the pernicious effects of Cr(VI), plants have efficient defence system in the form of antioxidants (Alaraïdh et al., 2018).

Enzymatic antioxidants (catalase, superoxide dismutase, glutathione reductase and ascorbate peroxidase) (Gamalero et al., 2009) played pivotal role in scavenging of ROS (Rajkumar et al., 2012). Inoculation with Cr(VI) reducing bacteria in the presence of the organic amendment may had enhanced the production of antioxidants significantly by alleviating the Cr(VI) from the plants. It might also be due to the bacterial ability to produce higher concentrations of enzymatic antioxidants when exposed to the higher concentration of the heavy metals (Islam et al., 2014). Particularly catalase, is a heme containing enzyme that catalyses the dis-mutation of hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen (Etesami, 2018). It is indispensable for
the scavenging of ROS under chromate stress (one molecule of CAT can scavenge 6 million molecules of H$_2$O$_2$ per minute) (Azpilicueta et al., 2007). So the bacteria living under chromate stress in association with plant may produce antioxidants for plant to overcome the situation. On the other hand, compost provide electrons required for the reduction process as well as carbon, nitrogen and energy to the bacteria for the assimilation (Hossain and Komatsu, 2013). Under stress, the composition of phospholipids of cell membrane is also changed due to increase the phospotidylcholine production and lower the phospotidylamine concentration. Bacteria can also alter the situation by lowering the concentration of phospotidylcholine and increasing the phospotidylamine (Pereyra et al., 2006). Stress indicator (proline) concentration was increased to alert the plant to activate its defence system timely. So increased concentration of Cr$^{6+}$ results in higher concentration of proline too (Islam et al., 2014). Zhou et al. (2009) found similar kind of results that metal stress increased the production of proline in *Medicago sativa* L. Cr$^{6+}$ reduction was the ultimate goal of the study to elevate the stress from the plants. Bacteria carried out the process of reduction to elevate the stress from themselves that ultimately benefitted the plants as well (Rajkumar et al., 2012). Recent studies have shown that bacteria also gain the energy from the process of reduction. Another possible reason can be that the bacterial genetic makeup has such abilities that it can alter itself according to the conditions (Maleki et al., 2017).

**Conclusion**

Isolate U32 *Pseudomonas fluorescens* can reduce Cr$^{6+}$ under pot conditions. Combined use of strain U32 and compost enhanced chlorophyll contents, physiological attributes, antioxidant enzymes and grain yield under Cr contaminated soil. However, multi-sites field evaluation is required to confer the approach.
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