INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE & BIOLOGY ISSN Print: 1560–8530; ISSN Online: 1814–9596

11–138/HUT/2012/14–3–360–364 http://www.fspublishers.org

# Full Length Article



# Performance of Yield Components and Morphological Traits and Their Relationships of the Lint Yield in *Bt* Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) Hybrids

TANG FEIYU<sup>1</sup>, FU XUEQIN†, MO WANGCHENG, WANG XIAOFANG AND XIAO WENJUN

College of Agronomy /Key Laboratory of Crop Physiology, Ecology and Genetic Breeding, Ministry of Education, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang 330045, China

†College of Life Science, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330000, China

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author email: fytangcau@163.com

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted with the objective to compare the mean performance of yield components and morphological traits among Bt cotton hybrids with diverse yield level, and to evaluate their relationships of the lint yield. Thirty insect-resistant transgenic upland cotton crosses were divided into three types (high, medium, low) based on lint yield per hectare by squared Euclidean distance and Ward linkage. Three yield components and 10 morphological traits of the three types were separated using ANOVA procedure and the Duncan's multiple range test. The relationships between them and lint yield were investigated using correlation analysis and stepwise regression analysis. The results showed there was statistically significant difference in bolls per plant and lint percentage for the three types, which increased with increasing lint yield. The Pearson's correlation coefficients between them and lint yield were the highest. The direct path coefficient was the maximum for bolls per plant to lint yield. No statistically significant difference was found for the ten morphological traits in the three types. The direct path coefficient of fruiting sites per sympodia to lint yield was positive and significant at 0.05 level. The minimum direct path coefficient to lint yield was due to height of the first fruiting branch. © 2012 Friends Science Publishers

**Key Words:** Upland cotton; *Bt* hybrid; Morphological traits; Yield components; Lint yield

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) fibre is becoming an increasingly important issue in modern textile industry. Currently production of high fiber quality (staple length not shorter than 31 mm, fiber strength not lower than 34cN/tex, micronaire ranges 3.8 to 4.4, HVICC level) cotton fails to meet the market requirement in China(Tang & Yang, 2006).

A low production is attributable to the scarcity of proper cotton varieties with high quality. Insect-resistance is an essential attribute for cotton varieties cultivated commercially in china, which helps to reduce application of pesticide and environmental pollution and increase lint yield. Development of productive *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) transgenic hybrid cotton with high quality has long been an objective of Chinese. Breeders think it is feasible to improve cotton yield and fiber properties by heterosis utilization. Meredith and Brown (1998) reported that yield heterosis over the better parent or best commercial cultivar (useful heterosis) averaged 21.4% for F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, and 10.7% for F<sub>2</sub>s in cotton. Verma *et al.* (2006) observed a maximum heterosis of 72.55% for seed cotton yield, and 82.35% for number of bolls per plant in 50 cross-combinations. Tuteja

et al. (2006) reported a maximum heterosis of 14.72% for 2.5% span length, 7.11% for bundle strength and a maximum negative heterosis of -9.30% for fibre fineness in 41 hybrids. However, heterosis is not uniformly manifested in all crosses and for all traits. Positive and negative heterosis was observed for most characters in cotton crosses (Khan, 2002; Preetha & Raveendran, 2008). It would be worthwhile to identify the properties governing heterosis and productivity. Among three yield components, no. of bolls per plant was considered the first important contributor to seed yield of the cotton, followed by boll weight (Rauf et al., 2004). Cultivars of cotton with diverse genetic background could express different growth development habits and morphological characteristics. Zhu et al. (2002) and Zhang and Ni (2006) reported that high quality cotton varieties were characterized by strong vegetative development with long fruiting branches and numerous vegetative branches. Introduction of Bt gene led to reduced vegetative growth, early flowering onset and shorten effective flowering period in transgenic insectresistant cotton (Tian et al., 1999; Yi et al., 2009).

It is not well known how combinations of *Bt* gene and superior fibre genes affect vegetative and reproductive

growth and the yield of cotton. Cotton is an indeterminate plant. Development of morphological traits is easily influenced by environment and cultivation conditions. Plant architecture modifications that allow more light penetration into the lower depths of the canopy may be an approach to enhance the yield of cotton. Reta-sanchez and Fowler (2002) proposed that cultivars with reduced plant height, short branches, modified leaves, and combinations of these characteristics grown at high plant densities and in a narrow-row system could be a good alternative to increase the yield of cotton. Kerby and Buxton (1981) suggested that cultivars with short fruiting branches and reduced leaf area in the upper canopy could increase efficiency of assimilate utilization in narrow-row cotton. The relationships between some plant architecture traits and seed cotton yield for conventional cotton cultivars grown at medium-high plant densities have been investigated by Preetha and Raveendran (2007) and Sekloka et al. (2008).

Compared to conventional cotton cultivars, hybrid cotton exhibits vigorous vegetative growth and a larger plant body. So plant density of them should be lower, which usually ranged from 22500 to 27000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> in Yangtse Valley region, China (Niu, 2007). Little information is available regarding selection of ideotype for productive *Bt* cotton hybrids containing superior fibre genes grown in low stand densities. The purpose of this research was to determine the differences in yield components and ten morphological traits among combinations between *Bt* transgenic and high quality lines, and evaluate the relationships between those traits and lint yield.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six cotton genotypes with high fiber quality, Yumian No.1(1), Jinxing No.2(2), AX(3), 2870(4), A801-5(5), A9-1(6) were used as females and five transgenic Bt genotypes, 33B(7), Y22(8), 99B(9), 9007(10),GK22(11) were used as males. Thirty  $F_1$  hybrids were obtained in a 6×5 diallel crossing way in 2006.

The thirty hybrids were raised in a randomized block design with three replications during 2007 at the agriculture experimental station, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang, China. Obtained seedlings were raised in warm room covered by plastic film of the field with sowing date 18 April and transplanted on 7 May in 9.3 m rows spaced 1.05 m apart and with an interplant distance of 0.45 m. All the cultural practices were adopted as recommended. Ten randomly selected plants in the middle of each plot were investigated for bolls per plant and plant architecture data in late September 2007. Plant type characters were measured as follows:

Plant Height (PH), measures the height of the main stem (in cm) from the cotyledonary node to the tip. Height of the First Sympodia (HFS), measures the height of the main stem (in cm) from the cotyledonary node to the first fruiting branch. Main-stem Internodal Length (MIL), is the ratio of the plant height (in cm) to the total number of nodes counted above the cotyledonary node on the main stem. Length of Sympodia (LS) was measured (in cm) on the fifth fruiting branch of the plant. Sympodial Branch Angle (SBA), measured as the angle between the main stem and sympodia recorded for the sixth to eighth sympodias. No. of Sympodia per plant (NOS) is the number of fruiting branches on the main stem. Sympodia Internodal Length (SIL) was measured for the first internode of the sixth to eighth fruiting branches. No. of Fruiting Positions (NFP), is the total number of fruiting forms on the plant.

Ratio of Plant height to Length of Sympodia (RPLS), is the ratio of the plant height (in cm) to the length of sympodia. Fruiting sites per sympodia (FSPS), is the ratio of No. of fruiting positions to No. of sympodia per plant.

A 50-boll, sample was harvested by hand from each plot, which was composed of first or second position bolls from approximately the middle five fruiting nodes as described by Cheatham *et al.* (2003). These samples were ginned using a laboratory gin and used to determine lint percentage and boll weight. Cotton in each plot was hand-harvested four times at 3-weeks intervals, lint yield was determined after ginning and expressed as kilogram per hectare (kg/ha).

The yield data of cotton was subjected to hierarchical cluster analysis to classify the thirty hybrids into three types (high yielding, medium yielding & low yielding) based on lint yield per hectare according to squared Euclidean distance and Ward linkage suggested by Yang and Zhou (1995). All data were statistically analyzed for variance sources and Duncan's multiple range test at a significant level of 0.05/0.01 applied to determine differences among the three types. Relationships between yield components and morphological traits and lint yield were investigated by the pearson correlation coefficient analysis and stepwise regression analysis. The data processing was carried out using SPSS 13.0 and EXCEL statistical software.

# **RESULTS**

**Cluster analysis:** The thirty crosses were divided into three types or classes (high, medium & low yielding) based on lint yield per hectare using squared Euclidean distance and Ward linkage (Fig. 1). The high yielding type included a total of 5 crosses ( $1\times8$ ,  $2\times11$ ,  $5\times11$ ,  $6\times8$  &  $6\times7$ ). The medium yielding type contained a total of 11 crosses ( $1\times7$ ,  $1\times11$ ,  $2\times8$ ,  $3\times7$ ,  $3\times8$ ,  $3\times9$ ,  $3\times11$ ,  $4\times10$ ,  $4\times1$ , 1,  $5\times7$  &  $6\times11$ ). The low yielding type consisted of a total of 14 crosses ( $1\times9$ ,  $1\times10$ ,  $2\times7$ ,  $2\times9$ ,  $2\times10$ ,  $3\times10$ ,  $4\times7$ ,  $4\times8$ ,  $4\times9$ ,  $5\times8$ ,  $5\times9$ ,  $5\times10$ ,  $6\times9$  &  $6\times10$ ).

**Variance** analysis results: Statistically significant differences were detected for lint yield per hectare, bolls per plant and lint percentage, whereas the ten traits investigated didn't exhibit prominent difference among the three types

(Table I). Means of the three characters were compared among those types using the Duncan's multiple range test. It was showed that bolls per plant and lint percentage increased with the enhancement of lint yield per hectare in those transgenic cotton hybrids investigated in this research.

The correlation coefficients and stepwise regression analyses: Correlation analysis indicated that bolls per plant and lint percentage were positively correlated to lint yield per hectare. The Pearson's coefficients were 0.489 and 0.463, respectively (Table II). NFP and FSPS had positive and significant associations with lint yield per hectare. The Pearson's coefficients were 0.410 and 0.408, respectively (Table II). Partial correlation analysis showed all the traits investigated in this study exhibited low and non-significant associations with lint yield per hectare. Stepwise regression analysis revealed that bolls per plant, FSPS and HFS had prominent impacts on lint yield per hectare. The linear regression model is  $Y=22.663X_1+205.876X_2 69.109X_3+391.903$ ,  $r^2=0.487^{**}$ , where Y is stand for lint yield,  $X_1$  is stand for bolls per plant,  $X_2$  is stand for FSPS, X<sub>3</sub> is stand for HFS, r<sup>2</sup> is stand for coefficient of determination. Standardized partial regression coefficients (viz., direct path coefficients) of them to lint yield per hectare were 0.425, 0.392 and -0.334 respectively (Table II). Bolls per plant had maximum positive direct effect on lint yield per hectare followed by FSPS, whereas, HFS had maximum negative direct effect on lint yield per hectare.

#### DISCUSSION

In China, cotton is grown in three agro climatic regions, Xijiang region (northwestern), Huang-Huai (northern) and Yangtse Valley region (south). Yangtse Valley possesses the longer non-frost period, more accumulated temperature and rainfall than the other two regions. Such climatic conditions could have cotton thriven more easily. Nevertheless, the characteristics of yield components and plant type traits for productive cotton crosses with high quality grown in Yangtse Valley have not been documented before. In this study, there were significant differences for bolls per plant and lint percentage among the three types. However, the three types didn't exhibit prominent differences in boll weight and ten morphological traits. Correlation and stepwise regression analysis revealed that bolls per plant had the maximum positive effect on lint yield followed by lint percentage (Table II). Thus, bolls per plant and lint percentage should be key characters for selecting the high yielding cotton genotypes. Most of researchers reported that bolls per plant was the most important contributor to seed cotton yield (Naveed et al., 2004; Rauf et al., 2004; Gite et al., 2006).

Boll weight had low and negative association with lint yield in terms of Pearson's correlation coefficient (PeCC, -0.003), but showing non-significant and positive association with lint yield in terms of partial correlation

Table I: Means of the three yielding types for lint yield per hectare, bolls per plant and lint percentage

Yielding type	Lint/kg.ha <sup>-1</sup>	Bolls per plant	Lint percentage/%
High yielding	2229.538Aa	49.060Aa	41.126Aa
Medium yielding	1729.636Bb	48.071Aa	40.304Aa
Low yielding	1272.370Cc	40.150Bb	38.076Ab

Note: Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

Table II: Correlation coefficients and direct path coefficients between the three yield components and ten morphological traits and lint yield per hectare in upland cotton crosses

Traits	PeCC	PaCC	DPC
BPP	0.489**	0.306	0.425**
BW	-0.003	0.301	0.134
LP	0.463**	0.182	0.240
HFS	-0.359	-0.258	-0.334*
PH	-0.134	0.113	0.002
MIL	-0.115	-0.029	-0.030
NOS	0.044	-0.078	0.038
SBA	0.132	0.417	0.212
LS	0.082	-0.182	0.021
RPLS	-0.192	-0.178	-0.039
SIL	0.230	0.177	0.056
NFP	$0.410^{*}$	0.124	0.059
FSPS	$0.408^{*}$	-0.072	0.392**

Notes:\*,\*\* significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively. PeCC is stand for Pearson's correlation coefficient; PaCC is stand for partial correlation coefficient; DPC is stand for direct path coefficient. BPP is stand for bolls per plant; BW is stand for boll weight; LP is stand for lint percentage; HFS is stand for height of the first sympodia; PH is stand for plant height; MIL is stand for main-stem internodal length; NOS stands for no. of sympodias per plant; SBA is stand for sympodial branch angle; LS is stand for length of sympodia; RPLS is stand for ratio of plant height to length of sympodia; SIL is stand for sympodia internodal length; NFP is stand for No. of fruiting positions; FSPS is stand for fruiting sites per sympodia

coefficient (PaCC, 0.301) and direct path coefficient (DPC, 0.134). Simple linear correlation is a measure of the degree to which two variables vary together, or a measure of the intensity of the association between two variables. It does not always mean that one causes the other. It is very possible that there is a third factor involved. Partial correlation is a method used to describe the association between two variables, whilst taking away the effects of another variable, or several other variables, on this association. Path coefficient analysis (Wright, 1921) provides an effective means of partitioning correlation coefficients into direct effects and indirect effects, thus revealing the true nature of cause-and –effect relationships among of a set of variables. The positive association between boll weight and seed cotton yield was reported by (Rauf et al., 2004; Gite et al., 2006; Preetha & Raveendran, 2007). The opposite result was given by Naveed et al. (2004).

Negative correlation between HFS and lint yield was detected according to PeCC, PaCC and DPC, and its direct negative effect on lint yield reached significance at 0.05 level. HFS could be served as a reliable early maturing trait as node of the first fruiting branch (Iqbal *et* 

Fig. 1: Dendrogram of the 30 upland cotton crosses

Dendrogram using Ward Method

Rescaled Distance Cluster Combine CASE 15 20 25 Label Nun 4X8 19 6X10 26 237 6 3X10 11 5X8 21 5X9 24 4X7 16 4X9 20 5X10 23 2X10 8 6X9 30 189 3 1X10 4 7 289 2X11 10 5X11 22 188 6X7 29 6X8 28 1X11 5 3X11 14 1X7 1 3X8 15 3X7 13 4X10 18 3X9 12 6X11 27 288 4X11 17

al., 2003). High HFS might be associated with delayed crop maturity resulting in yield loss. This information suggests that a proper decrease in HFS increases lint yield for the present plant materials.

Little association between plant height with lint yield was observed. Similar result was reported by Rauf *et al.* (2004). Therefore, plant height cannot be used as a criterion of lint yield improvement in cotton.

Negative and non-significant association between MIL and lint yield was detected in terms of PeCC, PaCC and DPC, as was in conformity with the Rauf *et al.* (2004), who found that intermodal length had maximum negative direct effect on seed yield of cotton. However, our result was not in accordance with Preetha & Raveendran (2007). They reported that internode length exhibited significant and positive association with seed cotton yield in semi-compact plant type. The difference might be accredited to the various experimental materials used with diverse genetic background.

NOS showed low and positive correlation with lint yield in terms of PeCC and DPC, but neglectable and negative in terms of PaCC. Rauf *et al.* (2004) reported that the direct effect of sympodial branches on seed cotton yield was low and negative. Gite *et al.* (2006) observed that

number of sympodias per plant had positive and significant genotypic and phenotypic correlations with seed cotton yield.

LS showed positive correlation with lint yield for PeCC and DPC, but non-significant and negative for PaCC. RPLS showed non-significant and negative correlation with lint yield for PaCC, PeCC and DPC. These results suggest that a certain degree of horizontal extension for sympodia is necessary for *Bt* cotton hybrids to increase the lint yield of cotton.

Both SBA and SIL showed positive correlations with lint yield for PaCC, PeCC and DPC. The results suggest robust plant type produces higher lint yield as compared to compact plant type for *Bt* cotton hybrids grown at low plant densities.

NFP was positively associated with lint yield. The PeCC between NFP and lint yield reached significance at 0.05 level (0.410). FSPS was found to have significant and positive correlation with lint yield for PeCC and DPC, but low and non-significant for PaCC (-0.072). These results imply that more fruiting positions on plant and sympodia contribute to increase lint yield. Thus they also form critical traits for cotton improvement.

## **CONCLUSION**

Productive cotton genotypes are characterized by higher bolls per plant and lint percentage than low-medium yielding genotypes. In contrast with conventional cotton varieties, greater efforts should be made to enhance individual plant productivity for *Bt* cotton hybrids due to strong heterosis vigor expressed in growth and development. Robust plant types with increased SBA, LS, SIL, NFP and FSPS have yield advantage over compact ones. Low HFS means shorten days to first flowering and prolonged effective flowering period resulting in the increase of the yield of cotton. But an extremely low HFS is usually accompanied with increased boll rot on the bottom fruiting branches due to high humidity and bad sunlight in the bottom. A proper decrease of HFS should be desirable for selection of productive *Bt* cotton hybrids.

**Acknowledgement:** This work was supported with a grant No.2009BNA03800 by the Agricultural Science and Technique Foundation of Jiangxi province. This work was also supported by Science Foundation of Jiangxi Educational Committee with the number of GJJ09167.

#### **REFERENCES**

Azhar, F.M., A.I. Khan and I. Mahmood, 1999.Path coefficient analysis of some advanced generation progenies of *Gossypium hirsutum L. Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 1: 85–87

Cheatham C.L., J.N. Jenkins, J.C. McCarty Jr., C.E. Watson and J. Wu, 2003. Genetic variances and combining ability of crosses of American cultivars, Australian cultivars and wild cottons. *J. Cotton Sci.*, 7: 16–22

Gite V.K., M.B. Misal and H.V. Kalpande, 2006. Correlation and path analysis in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). J. Cotton Res. Dev., 20:51–54

- Iqbal, M., M.A. Chang, A. Jabbar, M.Z. Iqbal, M. Hassan and N. Islam, 2003. Inheritance of earliness and other characters in upland cotton. Online J. Biol. Sci., 3: 585–590
- Kerby, T.A. and D.R. Buxton, 1981. Competition between adjacent fruiting forms in cotton. Agron. J., 73: 867–871
- Khan, U.Q., 2002. Study of heterosis in fibre quality traits of upland cotton. Asian J. Plant Sci., 1: 593–595
- Meredith, W.R. Jr. and J.S. Brown, 1998. Heterosis and combining ability of cottons originating from different regions of the United States. J. Cotton Sci., 2: 77–84
- Naveed, M., F. M. Azhar and A. Ali, 2004. Estimates of heritabilities and correlations among seed cotton yield and its components in Gossypium hirsutum L. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 6: 712–714
- Niu, Q., 2007. Thinking on plant density of hybrid cotton. *Jiangxi Cotton*, 29: 18–21
- Preetha, S. and T.S. Raveendran, 2007.Genetic variability and association analysis in three different morphological groups of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Asian J. Plant Sci., 6: 122–128
- Preetha, S. and T.S. Raveendran, 2008. Combining ability and heterosis for yield and fibre quality in Line×Tester Crosses of upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 2: 64–74
- Rauf, S., T.M. Khan, H.A. Sadaqat and A.I. Khan, 2004. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Int. J. Agric. Biol., 6: 686–688
- Reta-Sanchez, D. and J.L. Fowler, 2002. Canopy light environment and yield of narrow-row cotton as affected by canopy architecture. *Agron. J.*, 94: 1317–1323
- Sekloka, E., J. Lancon, E. Goze, B. Hau, S. Lewicki-Dhainaut and G. Thomas, 2008. Breeding new cotton varieties to fit the diversity of cropping conditions in Africa: effect of plant architecture earliness and effective flowering time on late-planted cotton productivity. Exp. Agric., 44: 197–207

- Tang, S. and W. Yang, 2006. Status and analysis of cotton fiber quality distribution in china and suggestions. Cotton Sci., 18: 386–390
- Tian, X., P. Yang, L. Duan, B. Wang and Z. He, 1999. Preliminary study on the source-sink relationship of *Bt* transgenic cotton. *Acta Gossypii* Sin., 11: 151–156
- Tuteja, O., S. Kumar, M. Singe and P. Luthra, 2006. Heterosis for seed cotton yield and fibre quality characters in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). J. Cotton Res. Dev., 20: 48–50
- Verma, S., O.P. Tuteja, S. Kumar, R. Parkash, R. Niwas and D. Monga, 2006. Heterosis for seed cotton yield and its qualitative characters of Gossypium hirsutum L. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 20: 14–17
- Wright, S., 1921. Correlation and causation. J. Agric. Res., 20: 557-585
- Yang, D. and X. Zhou, 1995. Assessment of cotton variety resources by principal component analysis and cluster analysis. Acta Gossypii Sin., 7: 154–159
- Yi, Y., H. Xing and J. Zhao, 2009. Effect of insect-resistant gene on cotton economic characters and physiological characteristics. *Cotton Sci.*, 21: 159–161
- Zhang, X. and W. Ni, 2006. Studies on main agronomical and economical traits in cotton breeding lines with high fibre.. *China Cott.*, 33: 17–19
- Zhu, Y., Q. Yu and T. Sun, 2002. Studies on growth and development habits and cultivation technology of high quality cotton cultivar Yumian No.1. China Cotton, 29: 17–19

(Received 19 February 2011; Accepted 21 March 2012)