INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE & BIOLOGY ISSN Print: 1560–8530; ISSN Online: 1814–9596 20–0913/2020/24–6–1815–1822 DOI: 10.17957/IJAB/15.1626 http://www.fspublishers.org





High Genetic Diversity and Aggressiveness of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in Soybean (*Glycine max*) in Central Brazil Region

Riccely Ávila Garcia^{1*}, Vanessa Duarte Dias², Vanessa Pereira de Abreu², Renata Maria de Oliveira², Kássia Aparecida Garcia Barbosa Ávila¹, Maurício Conrado Meyer³ and Marcos Gomes da Cunha^{2*}

¹Centro Universitário de Goiatuba - UniCerrado, Goiás, Brazil

²Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiás, Brazil

³Embrapa Soja, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil

^{*}For correspondence: riccelyavila@yahoo.com.br; mgc@ufg.br; nessa-mg@hotmail.com *Received 19 June 2020; Accepted 01 July 2020; Published 10 October 2020*

Abstract

The diversity and aggressiveness inter- and intrapopulation of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* through mycelial compatibility groups and the evaluation of representative isolates of these groups were investigated for the disease severity in soybean plants. The isolates were derived from sclerotia collected in different soybean-producing regions of Central Brazil. For each location, mycelial compatibility analyses were conducted in a completely randomized design with two replications and 25 isolates. After the intrapopulation, interpopulation analyses were carried out, with a total of 31 isolates, using one or two representative isolates of each mycelial compatibility group of the intrapopulation analysis. For assessing isolates aggressiveness, the petioles of soybean cultivars M-SOY 7908 RR and BRSGO 7760 RR were inoculated with 21 representative isolates of mycelial compatibility. The length of lesions on the stem was measured three and seven days after inoculation. The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized factorial design, testing 21 (isolates) × 2 (cultivars), with 3 replications. In intrapopulation analyses, the genetic diversity of *S. sclerotiorum* was low, with at most three compatibility groups per population. In the interpopulation analyses, eight compatibility groups were detected, of which one with 19 isolates was predominant. The isolates differed significantly inaggressiveness on both the cultivars. M-SOY 7908 RR was found to be the most susceptible cultivar and SSSM25 the most aggressive isolate. © 2020 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Glycine max; Phytopathogenic diversity; Population genetic; Resistance; White mold

Introduction

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, is one of the most important pathogens of soybean worldwide. This pathogen causes the white mold disease in a broad host range. Disease management is complicated by the long-term survival of sclerotia in the soil and the absence of resistance in elite, commercial cultivars (Willbur *et al.* 2019).

It is known that *S. sclerotiorum* isolates originating from different geographical areas and hosts may vary in morphological, physiological and genetic characteristics (Kull *et al.* 2004; Durman *et al.* 2005; Li *et al.* 2008; Garg *et al.* 2009). The expansion of the genetic diversity of most living organisms occurs by means of sexual reproduction (which is meiosporic in the case of fungi), but to date this type of reproduction has not been described for certain fungal species (Carlile *et al.* 2001). In contact areas of colonies of a same isolate or between different isolates of the same fungal species, the occurrence of sexual compatibility (sexual reproduction) and vegetative compatibility or incompatibility can be observed (Glass *et al.* 2000). Hyphal anastomosis allows the exchange of cell contents between different individuals in compatibility reactions, the onset of sexual reproduction, heterokaryon formation, occurrence of a parasexual cycle and nutrient distribution in the mycelium/colony (Glass *et al.* 2000; Hickey *et al.* 2002).

Based on the genetic description, fungi are called antagonistic when clearly distinguishable sexual and vegetative heterokaryons occur at the interface between hyphae of different isolates (Alexopoulos *et al.* 1996). Sexual reproduction can occur among both heterothallic and homothallic isolates (Robertson *et al.* 1998). The test of mycelial compatibility groups has been used to evaluate the genetic diversity in several plant-pathogenic fungi (Katan *et al.* 1991; Leslie 1993), including *S. sclerotiorum* (Kull *et al.* 2004; Li *et al.* 2008).

Population studies of *S. sclerotiorum* demonstrated the genetic diversity and provided evidence for clonal and/or sexual reproduction. Generally, clonal reproduction

To cite this paper: Garcia RÁ, VD Dias, VPD Abreu, RMD Oliveira, KAGB Ávila, MC Meyer, MGD Cunha (2020). High genetic diversity and aggressiveness of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in soybean (*Glycine max*) in central Brazil region. *Intl J Agric Biol* 24:1815–1822

predominates in temperate regions (Hambleton *et al.* 2002), while sexual recombination occurs in warmer climate regions (Malvárez *et al.* 2007). Kohn *et al.* (1990) studied mycelial compatibility among 35 *S. sclerotiorum* isolates from different regions of Canada, and clustered the isolates in 28 groups of mycelial compatibility, 23 isolates were incompatible with all and 12 isolates formed compatibility groups with 2–3 isolates, indicating high genetic heterogeneity within the species. The reported degree of mycelial incompatibility suggests that genetic exchange occurs eventually in fungal populations. However, this exchange is more likely to occur through the ascus in sexual reproduction, since the high mycelial incompatibility between *S. sclerotiorum* isolates probably decreases the possibility of somatic heterokaryosis through hyphal fusion.

In Brazil, the formation of five mycelial compatibility groups and three clusters was detected by RAPD, suggesting moderate genetic diversity and sexual recombination among isolates from the tropics (Júnior *et al.* 2011). Meinhardt *et al.* (2002) reported two compatibility groups among 23 isolates. Differences between groups of mycelial compatibility as the aggressiveness of soybean plants were observed by Kull *et al.* (2004).

Despite the decades-old presence of *S. sclerotiorum* in Brazil, information about the population biology of this pathogen as well as on the aggressiveness of isolates of this fungus on soybean plants are still scarce, especially with regard to the Midwest region. The objectives of the present study were to investigate the genetic diversity in *S. sclerotiorum* populations on soybean in Central Brazil by the analysis of mycelial compatibility, and to assesse the aggressiveness of isolates in mycelial compatibility groups of soybean plants.

Materials and Methods

Population of S. sclerotiorum

Nine populations of *S. sclerotiorum* isolates were derived from sclerotia collected from eight soybean-producing regions in the Central Brazil. Sclerotia were collected from each location at approximately 50 points, then selecting 25 isolates per sampled field. The distance between the sampling points in each field was at least 10 m; only in the municipality of Silvânia, Goiás, two samples were collected from two fields on the same farm.

To obtain the isolates in the mycelial form, the sclerotia were sterilized in 96% alcohol and 2% sodium hypochlorite for 60 s in each solution and then placed on Petri dishes containing agar-water culture medium and incubated in a chamber, in constant darkness at $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. After initiation of sclerotia germination, 6-mm diameter discs containing only tips of the fungal hyphae were removed from the edges of the colony and placed on Petri dishes containing PDA (potato dextrose agar) culture medium and incubated in a chamber under the above conditions.

Intrapopulation analysis of mycelial compatibility of *S. sclerotiorum* isolates

The experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design with two replications and 25 isolates per population, with one replication cultured in MPM (modified Patterson's medium) and the other in PDA (potato dextrose agar) culture medium. Two culture media were used, as in a previous study it was found that, in some cases, the MPM medium delays the growth of fungi, making evaluation difficult and inducing errors. The inhibition of mycelial growth was observed by Schafer and Kohn (2006) in the presence of MPM medium. Both culture media were used for greater insight and reliability in the assessments of mycelial reactions.

The MPM culture medium was prepared as proposed by Schafer and Kohn (2006), containing:0.68 g L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄; 0.50 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄.7H₂O; 0.15 g L⁻¹ KCl, 1.0 g L⁻¹ NH₄NO₃; 18.4 g L⁻¹ D-glucose; 0.50 g L⁻¹ yeast extract; 15.0 g L⁻¹ agar; 200 μ L solution of trace elements containing 95 mL distilled water; 5.0 g monohydrate citric acid; 5.0 g ZnSO₄.7H₂O; 1.0 g Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂.6H₂O; 0.25 g CuSO₄.5H₂O; 0.05 g MnSO₄.1H₂O; 0.05 g H₃BO₄; 0.05 g Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O; and 1 mL CHCl₃ as a preservative solution stored at 20 ± 2°C. The PDA culture medium was prepared as described by Zauza *et al.* (2007), containing 20 g L⁻¹ Dglucose, 17 g L⁻¹ agar and 200 g potato. Before pouring the culture media into Petri dishes, 1.000 μ L of strawberry red dye (Mix Coralim®) were added to facilitate the visualization of incompatibility reactions.

The isolates were cultured in PDA for 5 d in the dark at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. After this period, 6-mm diameter mycelial discs were removed from the edge of the *S. sclerotiorum* colony and three discs were placed equidistantly in each Petri dish (diameter 9 cm), containing culture medium. After this, the Petri dishes were incubated in a BOD chamber in the dark at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. The mycelial interaction reactions were evaluated 7 d after incubation, considering a reaction incompatible when a red line was detected and formation of aerial mycelium at the interface line. Reactions were considered compatible when the colonies grew freely in the culture medium and were fused.

Interpopulation analysis of mycelial compatibility of *S. sclerotiorum* isolates

Two isolates from each group of mycelial compatibility of each location were paired with each other, amounted to 31 isolates for interpopulation analysis. Some mycelial compatibility groups were represented by a single isolate since they were comprised of a solitary isolate. This experiment was conducted similarly to that described above. The results showed that the compatibility groups were distinct or similar to the groups already determined within each population/location.

Aggressiveness of S. sclerotiorum isolates in soybean

In the study of aggressiveness of *S. sclerotiorum* isolates on soybean, a single isolate from each compatibility group detected for each location was randomly chosen, totaling 21 isolates. These isolates (SSMO01, SSMO23, SSUB01, SSUB18, SSAF11, SSS137, SSS121, SSS116, SSS110, SSPA11, SSPA03, SSPA23, SSCS24, SSCS05, SSAN02, SSAN11, SSAN20, SSSM12, SSSM25, SSSM03 and SSSM10) were evaluated for aggressiveness on the cultivars M-SOY 7908 RR and BRSGO 7760 RR, since these two cultivars have been reported as highest and lowest disease incidence, respectively, among commercial varieties under field conditions in central region of Brazil. The cultivars were sown in 5 L pots filled with Oxisol soil under greenhouse conditions. The experiment was repeated once to verify the consistency of results.

The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized, factorial design with 21 (isolates) \times 2 (cultivars), with 3 replications. Each replication consisted of five plants, i.e., a total of 15 plants per treatment. When the plants reached stage V3/V4, the third trifoliate leaf was cut with scissors, leaving about 3 cm of the stem for the insertion of the inoculum. For the stem inoculation, the entire length of 1000 μ L plastic tips was filled with mycelial discs taken from the edge of inverted *S. sclerotiorum* colonies such that the upside down mycelium came to direct contact with the plant at the inoculation site.

The 21 isolates used in inoculations were grown in PDA culture medium for 3 d at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and a photoperiod of 12 h. Inoculated plants were maintained in a greenhouse for 7 d, at a mean temperature of 22°C and relative humidity of 90%. Evaluations consisted of measuring the lesion length on the stems, 3 and 7 d after inoculation. Subsequently, the average lesion length of five plants per replication was calculated.

Data from both experiments were subjected to normality and homogeneity tests of variance, using the SAS package (Statistical Analysis System) (SAS Institute 1999). Statistical tests were performed using Box-Cox power transformation (Box and Cox 1964). Once the statistical assumptions were met, analysis of variance was performed with the F test at 5% probability. The isolate means were compared by the Scott-Knott test and for cultivars; the Tukey test was applied at 5% probability, using the statistical program SISVAR (Ferreira 2000).

Results

Mycelial compatibility of S. sclerotiorum isolates

The pairing of the isolates in all possible combinations for each population produced incompatible and compatible reactions. The incompatible reaction was characterized by the presence of a red line, which were recorded from the top or the bottom of the colonies (Fig. 1A and B) and formation



Fig. 1: Paired *S. sclerotiorum* isolates reacting with incompatibility (**A**, **B**, **C** and **D**), compatibility (**E** and **F**)

of aerial mycelium along the contact line of the isolates (Fig. 1C and D). The compatible response was defined as the absence of a line in the contact zone of the isolates (Fig. 1E and F). The results of the isolate compatibility analysis for each population are shown in Table 1.

A single mycelial compatibility group (MGC1) was detected in both Água Fria-GO population and Silvânia-GO "B" population, denoting the existence of one clone in each sampled field (Table 1). In contrast, in the populations of Uberlândia-MG and Montividiu-GO, two compatibility groups per population were found. Group MCG1 was also present at these two locations, comprising 96% of the isolates, while the groups MCG7 in the population of Montividiu and MCG8 in the population of Uberlândia were represented by a single isolate (Table 1).

In soybean fields sampled in Patrocínio-MG and Silvânia-GO "A", three compatibility groups per population were detected. In both populations of Patrocínio and Silvânia "A", group MCG1 was represented by 23 isolates (92%), while MCG2 and MCG3, in the population of Silvânia, and MCG4 and MCG5 in the population of Patrocínio were constituted of a only one isolate (4%) (Table 1). In the population of Anápolis, group MCG1 comprised 88% of the isolates, while MCG2 contained only 12% (Table 1).

In the population of Chapadão do Sul, two compatibility groups, MCG1 and MCG3, were identified, consisting of 64 and 26% of the isolates, respectively (Table 1). In the population of São Miguel do Passa Quatro-GO, there were three mycelial compatibility groups: MCG1, consisting of 23 isolates (92%), and MCG4 and MCG6, represented by a single isolate (4%).

The results of the mycelial compatibility tests revealed low genetic diversity in *S. sclerotiorum* populations within each sampled field (intrapopulation) at the eight sampled municipalities, except for São Miguel do Passa Quatro-GO, Silvânia-GO, "A" and Patrocínio-MG, where three compatibility groups were found, although two were represented by a only one isolate. This indicates that the frequency of sexual recombination in these populations, if existent, is very low.

Table	1: M	[vcelial	compatibi	lity grou	os of l	S. sci	lerotiorun	<i>i</i> isolates	detected	in	different	munici	palities
		2	1										

Image Signur Ta's Silvinia "A"	MCG					Isolates				
SAND1 SSAND1 SSAND1 SSAND1 SSAND1 SSAND1 SSAND1 SSAND2 SSAND3 SSC02 SSAND3 SSC02 SSAND3 SSC03 SSSND3 SSSND3 SSAND3 SSSND3 SSSND3 SSSND3 SSSND3 SSND3 SSAND4 SSAND3 SSC03 SSSND3 SSAND5 SSSND5 SSSND3 SSSND3 SSND04 SSAND4 SSAND3 SSSND3 SSSND3 SSAND7 SSSN7 SSSN7 SSSN05 SSND06 SSAND6 SSAND3 SSC13 SSSND3 SSAND7 SSSN07 SSSN07 SSSN08 SSSN08 SSAND3 SSC14 SSM00 SSAND3 SSC14 SSM010 SSN10 SSAN11 SSSN10 SSSN11 SSSN10 SSSN11 SSSN11 SSN11 SSN113 SSN11 SSN113 SSN114 SSN113 SSN114		Água Fria	Silvânia "A"	Silvânia "B"	Montividiu	Uberlândia	Patrocícnio	Anápolis	Chapadão do Sul	São Miguel do Passa Quatro
skatting SSAB02 SSAB02 SSAB02 SSAB03 SSAB03 SSAB04 SSSB05 SSAB04 SSSB05 SSAB04 SSAB04 SSAB04 SSAB04 SSAB04 SSAB05 SSAB11 SSAB16 SSAB1		SSAF01	SSSI01	SSSI26	SSMO01	SSUB01	SSPA01	SSAN01	SSCS02	SSSM01
SAR03SSA03SSA03SSA03SSA04SSA04SSA04SSA05SSC09SSSM03SSA05SSS05SSS10SSM04SSM06SSA06SSA07SSC30SSSM04SSA07SSS07SSS12SM060SSM06SSA07SSC14SSM07SSM07SSA07SSS107SSS12SM006SSM06SSA07SSC44SSM07SSM07SSA07SSS107SSS12SM008SS108SSA08SSA08SSC44SSM07SSA10SSS107SSS12SM008SS108SSA10SSC44SSM07SSA10SSS107SSS10SSM108SSM08SSA11SSM07SSM07SSA10SSS11SSM10SSM010SSU10SSA11SSM07SSM01SSA11SSS11SSM13SSM11SSM11SSM11SSM11SSM11SSM11SSA11SSS11SSS13SSM11SSM11SSM11SSM11SSM11SSM11SSA11SSS13SSM13SSM11SSM13SSM14SSM15SSM13SSM14SSA11SSS13SSM13SSM11SSM11SSM14SSM15SSM14SSM15SSA11SSS13SSM31SSM14SSM13SSM14SSM15SSM14SSM15SSA11SSS13SSM14SSM14SSM15SSM14SSM15SSM14SSM15SSA15SSM13SSM14SSM14SSM15SSM14SSM15SSM14SSM15SSA15SSM13		SSAF02	SSSI02	SSSI27	SSMO02	SSUB02	SSPA02	SSAN03	SSCS03	SSSM02
SARM SSRM SSRM SSRM SSRM SSRM SSRM SSRM SARM SSSM SSSM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSARM SSSM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSARM SSSM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSARM SSSM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSMM SSARM SSSM SSMM		SSAF03	SSSI03	SSSI28	SSMO03	SSUB03	SSPA03	SSAN04	SSCS07	SSSM03
SAPU5 SSS105 SSS107 SSS117 SSS117 </td <td></td> <td>SSAF04</td> <td>SSSI04</td> <td>SSSI29</td> <td>SSMO04</td> <td>SSUB04</td> <td>SSPA04</td> <td>SSAN05</td> <td>SSCS09</td> <td>SSSM04</td>		SSAF04	SSSI04	SSSI29	SSMO04	SSUB04	SSPA04	SSAN05	SSCS09	SSSM04
SARD6 SSS106 SSS131 SSM006 SSUB07 SSR070 SSS114 SSSM06 SARD8 SSS108 SSS133 SSM007 SSM07 SSM07 SSM07 SARD8 SSS108 SSS133 SSM008 SSUB07 SSR08 SSC214 SSSM08 SARP0 SSS10 SSS110 SSS135 SSM010 SSUB07 SSR010 SSC215 SSSM01 SARP1 SSS111 SSS135 SSM010 SSUB11 SSR12 SSSM14 - SSSM14 SSAF12 SS113 SSS135 SSM013 SSUB13 SSR14 SSAN14 - SSSM13 SSAF13 SS114 SSM14 SSM13 SSM14 SSM14 SSM14 SSM14 SSAF13 SS115 SSM14 SSM15 SSM14 SSM15 SSM14 SSM15 SSAF13 SS118 SSM14 SSM016 SSM17 SSM18 SSM16 SSM17 SSAF14 SSM18 SSM14 SSM017 SSM18 SS		SSAF05	SSSI05	SSSI30	SSMO05	SSUB05	SSPA05	SSAN06	SSCS13	SSSM05
SAAF07 SSN07 SSN17 SSN17 <t< td=""><td></td><td>SSAF06</td><td>SSSI06</td><td>SSSI31</td><td>SSMO06</td><td>SSUB06</td><td>SSPA06</td><td>SSAN07</td><td>SSCS14</td><td>SSSM06</td></t<>		SSAF06	SSSI06	SSSI31	SSMO06	SSUB06	SSPA06	SSAN07	SSCS14	SSSM06
SSAT08 SSS108 SSS133 SSM000 SSL100 SSR000 SSL000 SSL000<		SSAF07	SSSI07	SSSI32	SSMO07	SSUB07	SSPA07	SSAN08	SSCS17	SSSM07
SSAF00 SSSN00 SSS124 SMO00 SUB00 SSPA10 SSAN01 SSSN01 SSSN11 SSAF11 SSS011 SSS136 SMO11 SUB110 SSPA11 SSAN12 - SSSN11 SAF13 SSS114 SSS137 SM012 SSUB12 SSN15 - SSSN14 SAF13 SSS114 SSS19 SSM014 SUB13 SSAN15 - SSSN16 SSAF13 SSS114 SS199 SM014 SUB14 SSAN15 - SSSN16 SSAF16 SSS117 SSS118 SSM016 SUB15 SSAN17 SSSN16 SSSN17 SSAF16 SSS17 SSS118 SSM016 SUB16 SSP14 SSAN17 SSSN18 SSM17 SSAF16 SSS17 SSS13 SSM18 SSM17 SSSN18 SSM17 SSSN18 SSM17 SSSN18 SSAF2 SSS12 SSM13 SSM18 SSM18 SSM17 SSSN18 SSM17 SSSN18 SSAF2 SSS14 </td <td></td> <td>SSAF08</td> <td>SSSI08</td> <td>SSSI33</td> <td>SSMO08</td> <td>SSUB08</td> <td>SSPA08</td> <td>SSAN09</td> <td>SSCS24</td> <td>SSSM08</td>		SSAF08	SSSI08	SSSI33	SSMO08	SSUB08	SSPA08	SSAN09	SSCS24	SSSM08
SAAF10 SSR10 SSR135 SSM010 SUB10 SSPA10 SSA11 - SSSM11 1 SSAF12 SSR11 SSR13 SSM012 SSUB12 SSAP13 SSAM13 - SSSM12 SAF12 SSR11 SSR13 SSR13 SSR012 SSUB13 SSP14 SSA115 - SSSM13 SSAF14 SSS114 SSS135 SSM015 SUB13 SSP14 SSM15 - SSM14 SSAF16 SSS115 SSSM10 SSUB15 SSP16 SSM17 - SSM16 SSAF16 SSS117 SSSM14 SSM015 SUB15 SSP16 SSM17 SSM17 SSM17 SSAF17 SSS118 SSM10 SUB17 SSP18 SSM19 SSM17 SSM18 SSM17 SSAF19 SS120 SSM24 SSM20 SSM21 SSM23 SSM21 SAF17 SSS12 SSM24 SSM24 SSM23 SSM24 SSM23 SSM24 SAF17 SSS12<		SSAF09	SSSI09	SSSI34	SSMO09	SSUB09	SSPA09	SSAN10	SSCS25	SSSM09
1 SSAF1 SSR11 SSR13 SSR14		SSAF10	SSSI10	SSSI35	SSMO10	SSUB10	SSPA10	SSAN12	-	SSSM11
SAAF12 SSSN12 SSSN37 SSN012 SUB12 SSPA13 SSN14 - SSSN13 SAAF14 SSSN14 SSSN3 SSN014 SUB14 SSPA16 SSAN15 - SSSM14 SSAF14 SSSN15 SSSN15 SSN15 SSN17 SSSM16 SSSN17 SSSM16 SSAF16 SSSN17 SSSN18 SSN17 SSSN17 SSSN17 SSSN17 SSSN17 SSAF17 SSSN18 SSSN18 SSN17 SSSN17 <	1	SSAF11	SSSI11	SSSI36	SSMO11	SSUB11	SSPA12	SSAN13	-	SSSM12
SAF13 SSS13 SSS13 SSS13 SSM014 SSUB13 SSAP14 SSAN15 - SSSM14 SSSM14 SAF15 SS115 SSS15 SSS140 SSM015 SUB14 SSAN16 SAN17 - SSSM16 SSAF16 SSS17 SSS118 SSS140 SSM016 SUB16 SSAN18 - SSSM16 SSAF17 SSS118 SSS14 SSM017 SSUB17 SSA18 SSM19 - SSSM17 SSAF18 SSS19 SSS14 SSM018 SSUB19 SSAN2 SSAN19 - SSSM18 SSAF20 SSS12 SSS14 SSM02 SUB22 SSAN2 SSM2 SSSM2 SSAF23 SSS12 SSS14 SSM02 SUB22 SSP2 SAN2 - SSM23 SSAF24 SSS19 SSM14 SUB25 SSP2 SSAN2 - SSM23 SSAF25 SSS19 SSM14 SUB24 SSM22 SSM24 SSM23 SSM24 SSM24		SSAF12	SSSI12	SSSI37	SSMO12	SSUB12	SSPA13	SSAN14	-	SSSM13
SAF14 SSS14 SSS15 SSS15 SSS15 SSS15 SSS16 SSS17 SSS17 <th< td=""><td></td><td>SSAF13</td><td>SSSI13</td><td>SSSI38</td><td>SSMO13</td><td>SSUB13</td><td>SSPA14</td><td>SSAN15</td><td>-</td><td>SSSM14</td></th<>		SSAF13	SSSI13	SSSI38	SSMO13	SSUB13	SSPA14	SSAN15	-	SSSM14
SAF15 SSS15 SSS140 SSM015 SUB15 SSPA16 SSAN17 - SSSM16 SAF16 SSS117 SSS118 SSS141 SSM016 SUB16 SSPA17 SSAN18 - SSSM17 SSAF18 SSS119 SSS142 SSM017 SUB16 SSPA17 SSAN19 - SSSM17 SSAF18 SSS119 SSS144 SSM017 SUB17 SSPA18 SSAN19 - SSSM17 SSAF19 SSS120 SSS144 SSM019 SUB210 SSPA12 SSM21 SSSM21 SSAF20 SSS125 SSS146 SSM020 SUB21 SSAN2 SAN23 SSSM21 SSAF21 SSS125 SSS146 SSM02 SUB22 SSAN2 SSAN2 SSM24 SSAF23 SSS150 SSS140 SSUB2 SSN16 Anaptio Anaptio Mathiar Mathar Mathiar Mathiar Mathar Mathiar Mathiar Mathar Ma		SSAF14	SSSI14	SSSI39	SSM014	SSUB14	SSPA15	SSAN16	-	SSSM15
SAR16 SSSI17 SSS141 SSM016 SUB16 SSPA17 SAA18 - SSSM17 SSAF17 SSSI18 SSS142 SSM017 SSUB17 SSPA18 SSN19 - SSSM17 SSAF18 SSSI19 SSS143 SSM017 SSUB17 SSPA18 SSN19 - SSSM17 SSAF19 SSS10 SSS143 SSM019 SSUB20 SSN21 SSAN21 - SSSM19 SSAF20 SSS123 SSS145 SSM020 SSUB20 SSN22 SSSM21 SSSM21 SSAF21 SSS125 SS146 SSM021 SUB22 SSA23 - - SSSM23 SSAF23 SSS125 SS148 SSM025 SUB24 SSA25 - - - - SSSM24 SSAF24 SSS149 SSM025 SUB25 SS141 SUB24 SSA24 SSM24 SUB24 SSM24 SSAF24 SSS150 - - - - - - - <td></td> <td>SSAF15</td> <td>SSSI15</td> <td>SSSI40</td> <td>SSM015</td> <td>SSUB15</td> <td>SSPA16</td> <td>SSAN17</td> <td>-</td> <td>SSSM16</td>		SSAF15	SSSI15	SSSI40	SSM015	SSUB15	SSPA16	SSAN17	-	SSSM16
SAF17 SSS118 SSS142 SM017 SUB17 SSPA18 SAA19 - SSSM18 SAAF18 SSS119 SSS143 SM018 SSUB19 SSAA19 SSAAN0 - SSSM19 SSAF19 SSSD20 SSS144 SM019 SUB20 SSAA20 SSAN20 - SSSM20 SSAF20 SSSD2 SSS145 SSM020 SSUB21 SSAA22 SAN21 SSSM20 SSAF21 SSSD25 SSS145 SSM020 SSUB22 SSPA24 SSAN24 SSSM22 SSAF23 SSSD25 SSU54 SSM020 SSUB24 SSPA25 - - SSM24 SSAF24 SSSM50 - - - - - SSSM24 SSAF25 SSU55 SSM25 SSU55 SSU55 SSU55 SSU55 SSM25 SSM44 SSM45 - - - - SSSM24 SSM45 SSAF24 SSSU5 SSU55 SSU55 SSU55 SSU55 <td< td=""><td></td><td>SSAF16</td><td>SSSI17</td><td>SSSI41</td><td>SSM016</td><td>SSUB16</td><td>SSPA17</td><td>SSAN18</td><td>-</td><td>SSSM17</td></td<>		SSAF16	SSSI17	SSSI41	SSM016	SSUB16	SSPA17	SSAN18	-	SSSM17
SSAF18 SSSI19 SSSI3 SSM018 SUB19 SSPA19 SSAN20 - SSSM19 SSAF19 SSSI20 SSSI43 SSM019 SSUB20 SSAN21 - SSSM21 SSAF20 SSSI22 SSSI45 SSM020 SSUB21 SSAN21 SSAN22 - SSSM21 SSAF21 SSSI23 SSSI46 SSM020 SSUB23 SSN24 SSAN23 - SSSM21 SSAF23 SSS124 SSS147 SSM020 SSUB23 SSPA24 SSN24 SSM03 - SSM23 SSAF24 SSI49 SSM025 SSU25 SSU25 - - - SSM24 SSAF25 SSS10 - - - - - - - SSAF25 SSS10 - - - - - - - SSAF25 SSS10 - - - - - - - SSAF24 SSM19 SSM02 SSU25 - - - - SSAF24 SSSM0 SSU55 SSU55 - - - - SSAF25 SSS16 - - SSSU56 - -		SSAF17	SSSI18	SSSI42	SSM017	SSUB17	SSPA18	SSAN19	-	SSSM18
SSAF19 SSSD20 SSSL4 SSM019 SSUB20 SSPA20 SSAN21 - SSSM20 SSAF20 SSSD22 SSSL46 SSM021 SSUB21 SSPA21 SSAN22 - SSSM21 SSAF21 SSSL23 SSSL46 SSM021 SSUB23 SSPA22 SSAN23 - SSSM22 SSAF23 SSSL25 SSSL4 SSM021 SSUB23 SSPA24 SSAN23 - SSSM23 SSAF23 SSSL25 SSSL4 SSM024 SSUB23 SSPA24 SSAN24 SSM24 SSAF24 SSSL9 SSM025 SSUB25 SSUB25 - - - - SSAF25 SSSL9 SSM025 SSUB25 - - - - - SSAF25 SSSL9 SSM025 SSUB25 - - - - - 2 - - - SSAT25 - - - - 2 - - SSSL25 - - - - - 2 - - SSSL25 - - - - - 2 - - SSSL25 SSL25 - - -<		SSAF18	SSSI19	SSSI43	SSM018	SSUB19	SSPA19	SSAN20	-	SSSM19
BorkeyBorkeyBorkeyBorkeyBorkeyBorkeySNP20SSM22SSM22SSM21SSM21SSM21SSM21SSM21SSM21SSAF21SSS123SSS146SSM021SSUB23SSPA24SSAN23-SSSM21SSAF23SSS14SSM021SSUB23SSPA24SSAN24-SSSM23SSAF23SSS14SSM021SUB23SSPA24SSAN24-SSSM23SSAF24SS149SSM020SUB25SSM241SSAF25SSS19SUB252SSS122SSS12-SSSS2SSS12SS2SSS12SS<		SSAF19	\$\$\$120	SSSI44	SSM019	SSUB20	SSPA20	SSAN21	_	SSSM20
SAF21SSM23SSSM24SSM26SSM021SSM021SSM22SSM22SSM23SSAA23-SSSM22SSAF23SSS125SSS148SSM024SSUB23SSPA24SSAA24-SSSM23MCGÁgua FriaSilvánia "B"MontividuUberlândiaSilvánia "A"PatrocínioAnápolisChapadão do SulS.M. Passa Quatro1SSAF25SSS150SSAF25SSS102SSS116SSM024SSM024SSM0243SSAF25SSS1502SSS1163SSS1163SSS116SSC5013SSS116SSC5054SSC505 </td <td></td> <td>SSAF20</td> <td>SSSI20 SSSI22</td> <td>SSSI45</td> <td>SSM020</td> <td>SSUB20</td> <td>SSPA21</td> <td>SSAN22</td> <td>_</td> <td>SSSM20</td>		SSAF20	SSSI20 SSSI22	SSSI45	SSM020	SSUB20	SSPA21	SSAN22	_	SSSM20
BART21 BART22 SSR124 SSR147 SSM022 SSR142 SSR147 SSM022 SSR142 SSR147 SSM022 SSR147 SSM022 SSR147 SSM022 SSR147 SSM022 SSR147 SSM021 SSM021 SSR147 SSM025 SSI17 Patrocínio Anapital Chapadão do Sul S.M. Passa Quatro 1 SSAF25 SSS10 - <td></td> <td>SSAF21</td> <td>SSSI22 SSSI23</td> <td>SSSI45 SSSI46</td> <td>SSM020</td> <td>SSUB22</td> <td>SSPA22</td> <td>SSAN23</td> <td>_</td> <td>SSSM21</td>		SSAF21	SSSI22 SSSI23	SSSI45 SSSI46	SSM020	SSUB22	SSPA22	SSAN23	_	SSSM21
SALP2 SALP2 SALP2 SALP3 SSS125 SSS148SSN102 SSS148 Montividiu Montividiu SSAP26SSN22 		SSAF22	SSSI23 SSSI24	SSSI40 SSSI47	SSM022	SSUB22	SSPA24	SSAN24	_	SSSM22 SSSM23
MCG Água Frai Silvánia "B" Montividu Uberlándi Silvánia "A" Patricui Anápolis Chapadão do Sul S.NH2* 1 SSAF24 SSSI49 SSM025 SSUB25 - </td <td></td> <td>SSAF23</td> <td>SSS124 SSS125</td> <td>SSSI48</td> <td>SSM022</td> <td>SSUB23</td> <td>SSPA25</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>SSSM23</td>		SSAF23	SSS124 SSS125	SSSI48	SSM022	SSUB23	SSPA25	-	_	SSSM23
Nucl Istimutu Istimutu <th< td=""><td>MCG</td><td>Água Eria</td><td>Silvânia "B"</td><td>Montividiu</td><td>Uberlândia</td><td>Silvânia "A"</td><td>Datrocínio</td><td>- A nápolis</td><td>- Chapadão do Sul</td><td>S M Passa Quatro</td></th<>	MCG	Água Eria	Silvânia "B"	Montividiu	Uberlândia	Silvânia "A"	Datrocínio	- A nápolis	- Chapadão do Sul	S M Passa Quatro
SAM 24 SSM 25 SSS 2500 - - - - - - 2 - - - SSS 121 - SSAN02 - - 2 - - - SSS121 - SSAN02 - - 2 - - - SSS121 - SSAN02 - - - - - - SSS116 - - SSSN11 - - - - - SSS116 - - SSC014 - 3 - - - SSS116 - - SSC050 - - - - - - SSC050 - - - - - - - - SSC050 - - - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - -	1	SSAF24	SIValla D	SSM025	SSUB25		1 au ochilo	Anapons	Chapadao do Sul	S. W. I assa Quallo
SSAL2 SSSL0 - - - SSSL1 - SSAN02 - - 2 - - - SSSL1 - SSAN02 - - - - - - SSSL1 - SSAN02 - - - - - SSSL1 - SSAN02 - - - - - - - SSSL1 - SSAN02 - - - - - - - SSSL1 - SSSAN25 - - 3 - - - SSSL1 - SSSSL0 - - - - - - - SSSSL0 - - - SSSSL0 - - - - - - - - SSSSS0 - - - - SSSS11 - - - SSSS11 - - - SSSS11 - - - SSSS15 - - - <td>1</td> <td>SSAF25</td> <td>SSSI50</td> <td>551025</td> <td>550025</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	1	SSAF25	SSSI50	551025	550025	_		_	_	
2 -	2	55AI 25	555150	-	-	555121	-	- SSAN02	-	-
- - - - - SSAN1 - - 3 - - - SSS116 - - SSC501 - 3 - - - SSS116 - - SSC504 - - - - - SSC505 - - - SSC505 - - - - - - SSC505 -	2	-	-	-	-	555121	-	SSAN02	-	-
3 - - - SSS116 - - SSC501 - 3 - - - SSS116 - - SSC504 - - - - - SSC505 - - SSC505 - - - - - SSC506 - - SSC506 - - - - - - SSC506 - - - SSC506 - - - - - - - SSC506 - - - - SSC506 - - - - - SSC506 - - - - - SSC507 - - - SSC517 - - - SSC517 - - - SSC516 - - - SSC516 - - - SSC516 - - - - SSC517 - - - SSC516 - - - SSC517 - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	SSAN11	-	-
5 -	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSAN25	-	-
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - SSCS06 - - - - - - - SSCS06 - - - SSCS06 - - - - - - SSCS06 - - - SSCS06 - - - - - - SSCS06 - - - - - SSCS10 - - - - - SSCS12 - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	5	-	-	-	-	333110	-	-	55C501	-
- - - - - - - - SSCS06 - - - - - - SSCS06 - - - - - - - SSCS06 - - - - - - SSCS06 - - SSCS06 - - - - - SSCS06 - - SSCS06 - - - - - SSCS17 - - SSCS17 - - - - - - SSCS15 - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - SSCS17 - - - SSCS16 - <		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55C504	-
- - - - - - SSC.500 - - - - SSC.500 - - SSC.510 - - - - - - SSC.510 - - - - - - SSC.511 - - - - - - - - SSC.515 - - - - - - - - - SSC.516 -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS05	-
- - - - - - SSC.508 - - - - SSC.510 - - SSC.511 - - - - - SSC.511 - - - SSC.512 - - - - - - SSC.512 -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22C200	-
- - - - - - SSCS10 - - - - - SSCS11 - - - - - - SSCS12 - - - - - SSCS12 - - - - - SSCS15 - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - SSCS10 - - - - - SSSCS2		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS08	-
- - - - - - SSCS11 - - - - - SSCS12 - - - - - - SSCS15 - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - SSCS11 - - - - - - - - SSCS17 - - - - - - - - SSCS21 - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS10	-
- - - - - - SSCS12 - - - - - SSCS15 - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - SSCS18 - - - - - SSCS19 - - - - - SSCS20 - - - - - SSCS22 - - - - - SSSM25 - - - - - SSPA11 - - 4 - - - - SSPA23 - - 5 - - - - - - SSSM10 7 - - SSUB18 - - - - - 6 - - SSUB18 - - - - - 8 - - SSUB18 - - - - <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>SSCSII</td><td>-</td></t<>		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCSII	-
- - - - - - SSCS15 - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - SSCS16 - - - - - SSCS19 - - - SSCS19 - - - - - - - - SSCS19 -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS12	-
- - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - SSCS16 - - - - - - SSCS18 - - - - - - SSCS19 - - - - - SSCS20 - - - - - SSCS21 - - - - - SSCS22 - - - - - SSSM25 - - - - - SSPA11 - - SSSM25 4 - - - - SSPA11 - - SSSM25 5 - - - - SSPA13 - - - 6 - - - - SSSM10 - - - - 7 - - - SSUB18 - - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS15	-
- - - - - - SSCS18 - - - - - - SSCS19 - - - - - SSCS19 - - - - - SSCS10 - - - - - SSCS12 - - - - - SSCS22 - - - - - SSSM25 - 4 - - - - SSSM25 - 5 - - - SSSM23 - - 6 - - - - SSSM25 - 7 - - SSM023 - - - SSSM10 - 8 - - - SSUB18 - - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS16	-
- - - - - SSCS19 - - - - - SSCS20 - - - - - SSCS20 - - - - - SSCS21 - - - - - SSCS22 - - - - - SSCS23 - 4 - - - SSM25 - 5 - - - SSM23 - - 6 - - - - - SSSM10 7 - - SSUB18 - - - - 8 - - - SSUB18 - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS18	-
- - - - - SSCS20 - - - - - SSCS21 - - - - - SSCS22 - - - - - SSCS23 - 4 - - - SSSM25 - 5 - - - SSPA11 - - 6 - - - SSM25 - - 7 - - SSM023 - - - SSSM10 7 - - SSM023 - - - - - 8 - - SSUB18 - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS19	-
- - - - - SSCS21 - - - - - SSCS22 - - - - - SSCS23 - 4 - - - SSSM25 - 5 - - - SSPA11 - - SSSM25 6 - - - - SSPA23 - - - 6 - - - - - SSSM10 - - 7 - - SSUB18 - - - - - 8 - - - SSUB18 - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS20	-
- - - - - SSCS22 - 4 - - - - SSCS23 - 4 - - - - SSPA11 - - SSSM25 5 - - - SSPA23 - - - SSSM10 6 - - - SSM023 - - - SSSM10 7 - - SSUB18 - - - - - 8 - - - SSUB18 - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS21	-
4 - - - - SSC23 - 4 - - - SSPA11 - - SSM25 5 - - - - SSPA23 - - - 6 - - - - SSPA23 - - - 7 - - - - - SSM023 - - - 8 - - SSUB18 - - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS22	-
4 - - - SSPA11 - - SSSM25 5 - - - - SSPA23 - - 6 - - - - SSPA23 - - 7 - - - - - - SSSM10 7 - - SSM023 - - - - - 8 - - SSUB18 - - - - -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSCS23	-
5 - - SSPA23 - - 6 - - - - - SSM10 7 - - SSM023 - - - SSSM10 8 - - SSUB18 - - - - -	4	-	-	-	-	-	SSPA11	-	-	SSSM25
6 - - - - SSSM10 7 - - SSM023 - - - - 8 - - SSUB18 - - - - -	5	-	-	-	-	-	SSPA23	-	-	
7 SSM023	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SSSM10
8 SSUB18	7	-	-	SSMO23	-	-	-	-	-	-
	8	-	-	-	SSUB18	-	-	-	-	-

The results of the compatibility test among the sclerotia sampling locations are shown in Table 2. When one or two isolates representing each compatibility group of all locations were paired in all possible combinations, eight compatibility groups were detected (MCG1, MCG2, MCG3, MCG4, MCG5, MCG6, MCG7, and MCG8, represented by, respectively, 19 (61.6%), 3 (9.6%), 3 (9.6%), 2 (6.4%), 1 (3.2%), 1 (3.2%), 1 (3.2%), and 1 isolates (3.2%) (Table 2).

In Silvânia-GO, isolates from different plots on a same farm behaved differently in mycelial compatibility analyses. While three groups of mycelial compatibility were detected in the field "A", the 25 isolates in field "B" were all compatible with each other, indicating the existence of a single clone in this field. The existence of more than one compatibility group in one field can be explained by the topographic position of this field on the property. It is located in a low land area, which contributes to a transfer of sclerotia from higher areas by rainwater, favoring the gene flow from the population of field "B".

Aggressiveness of S. sclerotiorum isolates

The results of the aggressiveness test of *S. sclerotiorum* isolates are presented in Table 3. The interaction cultivarisolate was significant after 3 d of inoculation ($P \le 0.05$), and after 7 d of inoculation ($P \le 0.01$) (Table 3). All 21 isolates used in this study could induce disease in the

Isolates	Location/Population	MCG
SSSI37	Silvânia "B"	1
SSSI48		1
SSUB01	Uberlândia	1
SSUB18		8
SSUB21		1
SSAF03	Água Fria	1
SSAF11	C C	1
SSMO23	Montividiu	7
SSMO01		1
SSMO20		1
SSSI21	Silvânia "A"	2
SSSI16		3
SSSI10		1
SSSI02		1
SSPA11	Patrocínio	4
SSPA23		5
SSPA17		1
SSPA03		1
SSAN02	Anápolis	2
SSAN11		2
SSAN21		1
SSAN07		1
SSCS24	Chapadão do Sul	1
SSCS14	-	1
SSCS05		3
SSCS01		3
SSSM10	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	6
SSSM12		1
SSSM15		1
SSSM03		1
SSSM25		4

Table 2: Isolates and mycelial compatibility groups identified by interpopulation analysis of 31 *S. sclerotiorum* isolates collected on soybean fields in the Central region of Brazil

Table 3: Lesion size (cm) caused by different *S. sclerotiorum* isolates, representing eight groups of mycelial compatibility on the soybean cultivars BRSGO 7760 RR and M-SOY 7908 RR, three and seven days after inoculation $(d.a.i.)^2$

Isolates	MCG	Cultivars (3 d.a.i.)				Cultivars (7 d.a.i.)			
		BRSGO 7760 RR		M-SOY 7908 RR		BRSGO 7760 H	RR	M-SOY 7908 RR	
SSMO01	1	0.30 d A		0.02 d A		0.37 d A		0.02 c A	
SSUB01	1	0.77 c A		2.12 c A		1.53 d B		5.07 b A	
SSSI16	3	0.28 d B		0.92 c A		1.63 d B		5.17 b A	
SSSI21	2	0.42 d A		0.73 c A		2.67 c B		4.95 b A	
SSAN11	2	0.38 d B		1.35 c A		3.12 c B		7.32 a A	
SSAN02	2	1.05 c B		2.42 b A		3.63 b B		8.27 a A	
SSPA11	4	1.03 c B		2.05 b A		4.02 b B		6.78 a A	
SSPA23	5	1.82 b A		1.56 b A		4.05 b A		5.42 b A	
SSCS05	3	1.87 b A		2.72 b A		4.73 b B		7.75 a A	
SSPA03	1	1.42 b A		1.38 c A		5.38 a A		3.33 b B	
SSSI10	1	1.63 b A		1.98 b A		5.40 a A		7.18 a A	
SSAN20	1	1.08 c B		2.76 b A		5.65 a B		9.37 a A	
SSMO23	7	2.55 b A		2.73 b A		5.68 a A		7.53 a A	
SSSM10	6	1.00 c B		2.07 b A		5.77 a A		8.12 a A	
SSSI37	1	1.95 b A		2.07 b A		5.83 a A		6.90 a A	
SSCS24	1	2.93 a A		3.60 a A		5.97 a A		7.57 a A	
SSAF11	1	2.92 a A		4.11 a A		6.22 a A		7.73 a A	
SSSM03	1	3.23 a A		2.32 b A		6.30 a A		5.30 b A	
SSSM12	1	3.25 a A		4.10 a A		7.23 a A		8.53 a A	
SSUB18	8	3.63 a A		3.93 a A		7.70 a A		7.82 a A	
SSSM25	4	1.85 b A		3.18 a A		8.02 a A		10.58 a A	
Mean		1.68	2.29			4.80	6.70		
CV (%)		31.19				22.63			

¹Means in the original scale, but with statistical tests resulting from the Box-Cox Power Transformation (Box and Cox 1964)

Means followed by the same lower-case letters in the column and capital letter in the row, did not differ from each other by the Scott-Knott test, for the factor isolate, and Tukey test, for the factor cultivar, at 5% significance

²Combined analysis of two experiments

cultivars BRSGO 7760 RR and M-SOY 7908 RR, with the exception of isolate SSMO01, from Montividiu-GO, with low aggressiveness. There was disease evolution between

the first assessment, 3 d after inoculation, and the second evaluation, 7 d after inoculation, showing that the conditions remained favorable to the pathogen development and expression of its aggressiveness. Regardless of the isolate, cultivar M-SOY 7908 RR was more susceptible than cultivar BRSGO 7760 RR in both evaluations, confirming the observations under commercial field conditions.

Three days after inoculation, the isolates SSUB18, SSSM12, SSSM03, SSCS24, and SSAF11 were the most aggressive to cultivar BRSGO 7760 RR, causing lesions with a length range of 3.63 to 2.92 cm. The most aggressive isolates to cultivar M-SOY 7908 RR were SSAF11, SSSM12, SSUB18, SSSM25, and SSCS24, although with no statistical difference, causing lesion lengths of 4.11 to 3.18 cm (Table 3). Among the five most aggressive isolates to each cultivar, four isolates (SSAF11, SSSM12, SSUB18, and SSCS24) were common to both soybean cultivars used in this aggressiveness analysis. Three days after inoculation, the lesion length differed significantly between the cultivars for the isolates SSAN20, SSAN02, SSPA11, SSM10, SSAN11, and SSSI16 and the lesion length on BRSGO 7760 RR was shorter.

For cultivar M-SOY 7908 RR, seven days after inoculation, the most aggressive isolates were SSSM25, SSAN20, SSSM12, SSAN02, SSSM10, SSUB18, SSCS05, SSAF11, SSCS24, SSM023, SSAN11, SSSI10, SSSI37, and SSPA11. To cultivar BRSGO 7760 RR, the most aggressive isolates were SSSM25, SSUB18, SSSM12, SSSM03, SSAF11, SSCS24, SSSI37, SSSM10, SSM023, SSAN20, SSSI10, and SSPA23 (Table 3). Seven days after inoculation, the number of most aggressive isolates increased from 5 to 14 isolates; this shows that some isolates have a longer incubation period and therefore need more time to express their aggressiveness in soybean plants.

Among the most aggressive 14 isolates to cultivar M-SOY 7908 RR, 10 were common to cultivar BRSGO 7760 RR. Seven days after inoculation, the difference between cultivars in lesion length was significant for the isolates SSPA03, SSCS05, SSPA11, SSAN 02, SSAN11, SSSI16, and SSUB01.Cultivar M-SOY 7908 RR proved to be the most sensitive, except for isolate SSPA03, which induced the greatest lesion length in cultivar BRSGO 7760 RR (Table 3).

Discussion

The results of the mycelial compatibility tests revealed low genetic variability in *S. sclerotiorum* populations within each sampled field (intrapopulation) at the eight sampled municipalities, except for São Miguel do Passa Quatro-GO, Silvânia-GO, "A" and Patrocínio-MG, where three compatibility groups were found, although two were represented by a only one isolate. This indicates that the frequency of sexual recombination in these populations, if existent, is very low. The population of Chapadão do Sul was well-distributed in the two mycelial compatibility groups, unlike the other populations studied, in which the groups are represented by at most three isolates. Kolhi *et al.* (1992) also concluded that there is more than

one *S. sclerotiorum* clone in a single field, in an analysis of 290 isolates collected in western Canada.

High genetic diversity was found in the interpopulation analysis, *i.e.*, analyzing the populations resulting from the mixture of the mycelial compatibility groups of the eight municipalities These results agree from Mahalingam *et al.* (2020) based on MCG and microsatellite data which founded relatively a high level of gene and genotypic diversity in Sri Lanka (also a tropical country). Some researchers assert that sexual recombination occurs in regions with a warmer climate (Atallah *et al.* 2004; Malvárez *et al.* 2007).

In Silvânia-GO, isolates from different plots on a same farm behaved differently in mycelial compatibility analyses. While three groups of mycelial compatibility were detected in field "A", the 25 isolates in field "B" were all compatible with each other, indicating the existence of a single clone in this field. The existence of more than one compatibility group in one field can be explained by the topographic position of this field on the property. It is located in a lowland area, which contributes to a transfer of sclerotia from higher areas by rainwater, favoring the gene flow from the population of field "B".

Among 40 isolates collected in different locations and different hosts, five groups of mycelial compatibility and three clusters were identified by RAPD, suggesting high genetic variability and sexual recombination among the studied isolates (Júnior *et al.* 2011). In another study, low genetic variability was observed in *S. sclerotiorum* populations. Among 23 isolates (21 isolates from common bean, 1 from potato and 1 from pepper), two compatibility groups were observed, aside from polymorphism among isolates of a same mycelial compatibility group (Meinhardt *et al.* 2002).

The intra-population genetic variability analysis was low because few compatibility groups were detected within the sampled fields, aside from the fact that these groups are represented by a maximum of three isolates. The only exception was the population of Chapadão do Sul, where the two existing compatibility groups are distributed more homogeneously in the sampled area. A different behavior was observed when the isolates from the different locations were paired with each other, resulting in eight compatibility groups. In this interpopulation analysis, group MCG1 comprised 62% of the isolates and present in all sampled fields. The other groups (MCG2, MCG3, MCG4, MCG5, MCG6, MCG7, and MCG8) contained at most three isolates, i.e., 9.6%. This information suggests that the gene flow of sclerotia transferred from one area to another through cultural practices, be it within a property or in relatively distant areas, as well as the use of contaminated seed, contribute little to the genetic variability of S. sclerotiorum populations. The variability could possibly be associated with mutations, nevertheless most clones have a low frequency, except in the population of Chapadão do Sul, where the frequency of the two clones was similar.

Despite a few earlier studies of genetic variability in *S.* sclerotiorum populations in Brazil, the number of isolates analyzed in this paper is higher than the number of isolates reported in the literature (Gomes *et al.* 2011; Júnior *et al.* 2011); in addition, the pathogen populations in this study were analyzed within each sampled soybean field and between fields in different Brazilian municipalities of Central Brazil, where white mold has become a major soybean disease, arousing concerns of producers as well as of researchers interested in the pathosystem *S. sclerotiorum* × *Glycine max* L.

No relationship was observed between mycelial compatibility groups and aggressiveness of isolates to soybean plants, since in a same mycelial compatibility group the isolates differed in lesion length on the stem. These results are in agreement with those of Atallah et al. (2004), Auclair et al. (2004) and Kull et al. (2004), who found that the MCG or microsatellite markers were not associated with specific characteristics of aggressiveness or ecological adaptations of the pathogen. Not all isolates of group MCG1 were equally aggressive to soybean plants. These results disagree with those of Otto-Hanson et al. (2011), who reported the existence of 64 mycelial compatibility groups in a population of 156 isolates collected in different regions of North America and France. where isolates of the same compatibility group did not differ in aggressiveness in common bean plants Kull et al. (2004) found 42 mycelial compatibility groups among 299 isolates from Diverse, DeKalb, Watseka Sets of Canada and Argentina. These compatibility groups differed in aggressiveness to soybean plants. The most aggressive were the groups with clustered isolates from different locations, e.g., Diverse, DeKalb and Watseka. These results are in agreement with our study, since the most aggressive isolates belonging to MCG1 are from different locations, such as São Miguel do Passa Quatro, Água Fria, Chapadão do Sul, Silvânia, Montividiu, Anápolis, and Patrocínio. It was also found that isolates from the same location differed in aggressiveness, as in the case of the isolates SSUB 18 and SSUB 01, from Uberlândia and SSMO 01 and SSMO 23, from Montividiu, differing from the findings of Kull et al. (2004) and Durman et al. (2003). Isolates that were incompatible with most isolates within their respective populations (SSSM 25, SSUB 18, SSSM 10, SSMO 23, SSCS 05, SSPA 11, and SSAN 02) were included in the group of 14 most aggressive isolates to cultivar M-SOY 7908 RR seven days after inoculation.

The reason for the variation in aggressiveness observed in this study may be related to the range of different municipalities in the Central region of Brazil where sclerotia were collected, therefore the existence of several compatibility groups would be more likely than of a clonal population (Kolhi *et al.* 1992; Durman *et al.* 2003). Aggressiveness variation of *S. sclerotiorum* has been a subject of many studies in differents crops (Ekins *et al.*

2007; Otto-Hanson *et al.* 2011; Attanayake *et al.* 2013) also in different geographic areas.

In soybean, white mold is a severely yield-limiting disease when favorable environmental conditions are met. Yield reductions are caused by reduced seed number and weight (Hoffman *et al.* 1998; Danielson *et al.* 2004) resulting from the girdling of stems and disruption of xylem and phloem (Willbur *et al.* 2019). Thus, understanding the diversity and aggressiveness of the pathogen is valuable to improve the effectiveness of control practices, particularly the strategic use of cultivar resistance. When analyzing the diversity and aggressiveness of this fungus, we found 19 different behaviors in two soybean cultivars, thus for the selection of resistant varieties more than one isolate should be used due to the genetic behave in *S. sclerotiorum* populations.

Conclusion

Interpopulation analyses detected high genetic diversity and eight groups of mycelial compatibility in Central Brazil region. The *S. sclerotiorum* isolates differ in terms of aggressiveness to soybean plants. This study has found different aggressiveness levels among the 14 *S. sclerotiorum* isolates from soybean collected in Central Brazil. The aggressiveness and diversity was not associated with mycelial compatibility groups.

Acknowledgements

The first author thanks CAPES, CNPQ and FAPEG for financial support.

Author Contributions

RAG sample collection, analysis and made the write up, VDD and VPA interpreted the results and made the write up, RMO performed the experiments, KAGBA made the write up, MCM sample collection and financial support, MGC sample collection, made the write up and advisor

References

- Alexopoulos CJ, CW Mims, M Blackwell (1996). Introductory Mycology, Vol. 1, 4th edn., New York, USA
- Atallah ZK, B Larget, X Chen, DA Johnson (2004). High genetic diversity, phenotypic uniformity, and evidence of outcrossing in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in the Columbia basin of Washington State. *Phytopathology* 94:737–742
- Attanayake RN, PA Carter, D Jiang, LD R'10-Mendoza, W Chen (2013). Sclerotinia sclerotiorum populations infecting canola from China and the United States are genetically and phenotypically distinct. Phytopathology 103:750–761
- Auclair J, GJ Boland, LM Kohn, I Rajcan (2004). Genetic interactions between *Glycine max* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* using a straw inoculation method. *Plant Dis* 88:891–895
- Box GEP, DR Cox (1964). An analysis of transformations. J Roy Stat Soc 26:211–246
- Carlile MJ, SC Watkinson, GW Gooday (2001). *The Fungi*. Academic Press, San Diego, USA

- Danielson GA, BD Nelson, TC Helms (2004). Effect of Sclerotinia stemrot on yield of soybean inoculated at different growth stages. Plant Dis 88:297–300
- Durman SB, AB Menendez, AM Godeas (2005). Variation in oxalic acid production and mycelial compatibility within field populations of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Soil Biol Biochem* 37:2180–2184
- Durman SB, AB Menendez, AM Godeas (2003). Mycelial compatibility groups in Buenos Aires field populations of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Sclerotiniaceae). *Aust J Bot* 51:421–427
- Ekins MG, EAB Aitken, KC Goulter (2007). Aggressiveness among isolates of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* from sunflower. *Aust Plant Pathol* 36:580–586
- Ferreira FA (2000). Sistema SISVAR para análises estatísticas. Lavras: Universidade Federal de Lavras. Available at: http://www.dex.ufla.br/ ~danielff/softwares.htm (Accessed: 20 June 2011)
- Garg H, LM Kohn, M Andrew, H Li, S Krishanapillai, MJ Barbetti (2009). Pathogenicity of morphologically different isolates of *Sclerotinia* sclerotiorum with *Brassica napus* and *B. juncea* genotypes. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 126:305–315
- Glass NL, D Jacobsen, PK Shiu (2000). The genetics of hyphal fusion and vegetative incompatibility in filamentous ascomycetes. *Annu Rev Genet* 34:165–186
- Gomes EV, LB Nascimento, MA Freitas, LCB Nasser, S Petrofez (2011). Microsatellite markers reveal genetic variation within *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* populations in irrigated dry bean crops in Brazil. J *Phytopathol* 159:94–99
- Hambleton S, C Walker, LM Kohn (2002). Clonal lineages of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum previously known from other crops predominate in 1999–2000 samples from Ontario and Quebec soybean. Can J Plant Pathol 24:309–315
- Hickey PC, DJ Jacobson, ND Read, NL Glass (2002). Live-cell imaging of vegetative hyphal fusion in *Neurospora crassa*. Fung Genet Biol 37:109–119
- Hoffman DD, GL Hartman, DS Mueller, RA Leitz, CD Nickell, WL Pedersen (1998). Yield and seed quality of soybean cultivars infected with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Dis* 82:826–829
- Júnior CGL, EV Gomes, ML Júnior, LCB Nasser, S Petrofeza (2011). Genetic diversity and mycelial compatibility groups of the plantpathogenic fungus Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in Brazil. Genet Mol Res 10:868–877
- Katan T, D Zamir, M Sarfatti (1991). Vegetative compatibility in Fusarium oxysporum f. spp. radicis – lycopersici. Phytopathology 81:255–262

- Kohn LM, I Carbone, JB Anderson (1990). Mycelial interactions in Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Exp Mycol 14:255–267
- Kolhi Y, AA Morral, JB Anderson, LC Kohn (1992). Local and transcanadian clonal distribution of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on Canola. *Phytopathology* 82:875–880
- Kull LS, WL Pedersen, D Palmquist, GL Hartman (2004). Mycelial compatibility grouping and aggressiveness of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Dis* 88:325–332
- Leslie JF (1993). Fungal vegetative compatibility. Annu Rev Phytopathol 31:127–150
- Li Z, M Zhang, Y Wang, R Li, WGD Fernando (2008). Mycelial compatibility group and pathogenicity variation of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* populations in sunflower from China, Canada and England. *Plant Pathol J* 7:131–139
- Mahalingam T, W Chen, CS Rajapakse, KP Somachandra, RN Attanayake (2020). Genetic diversity and recombination in the plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* detected in Sri Lanka. *Pathogens* 9:306-319
- Malvárez G, I Carbone, NJ Grunwald, KV Subbarao, M Schafer, LM Kohn (2007). New populations of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* from lettuce in California and peas and lentils in Washington. *Phytopathology* 97:470–483
- Meinhardt LW, AW Nelson, CM Bellato, SM Tsai (2002). Telomere and microsatellite primers reveal diversity among *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* isolates from Brazil. *Trop Plant Pathol* 27:211–215
- Otto-Hanson L, JR Steadman, R Higgins, KM Eskridge (2011). Variation in Sclerotinia sclerotiorum bean isolates from multisite resistance screening locations. Plant Dis 95:1370–1377
- Robertson SJ, J Bond, N Read (1998). Homothallism and heterothallism in Sordaria brevicollis. Mycol Res 102:1215–1223
- SAS Institute (1999). Statistical analysis system for Windows: computer program manual. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA
- Schafer MR, LM Kohn (2006). An optimized method for mycelia compatibility testing in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Mycology* 98:593– 597
- Willbur J, M McCaghey, M Kabbage (2019). An overview of the Sclerotinia sclerotiorum pathosystem in soybean: Impact, fungal biology, and current management strategies. Trop Plant Pathol 44:3– 11
- Zauza EAV, AC Alfenas, RG Mafia (2007). Esterilização, preparo de meios de cultura e fatores associados ao cultivo de fitopatógenos. In: Métodos em Fitopatologia, p:382. Alfenas AC, RG Mafia (Eds.). Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil